



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Education, Society &amp; Behavioural Science</u></b>                                            |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>2014_BJESBS_13455</b>                                                                                                 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>A Philosophical Examination of Liberative Pedagogy from the Perspectives of Solarin and other Liberative Thinkers</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Short communications</b>                                                                                              |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                               | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | The paper is fine and the topic very interesting. I suggest dividing the third session into two sessions to avoid all those small points 3.3. etc. that are not argued. |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                             |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Raffaela Giovagnoli</b>                                                       |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Pontifical Lateran University, Faculty of Philosophy, Vatican City, Italy</b> |