



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_13455
Title of the Manuscript:	A Philosophical Examination of Liberative Pedagogy from the Perspectives of Solarin and other Liberative Thinkers
Type of the Article	Short communications

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Simply state [1] how liberative pedagogy oppresses, and [2] how institutionalization cures oppression. Cluttered with platitudes, this essay is	Comment (1) has been addressed in the "appraisai and recommendations" part of the work. Comment (2) will be addressed.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	unintelliglbe. Write like E. G. Moore the great thinker so simple!	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		