

Effect of Level and Diversity of Education on Environmental Awareness

ABSTRACT

Aims: The present research aimed to study the effect of level and diversity of education on environmental awareness of the individuals.

Study design: Present one was a comparative study to measure the environmental awareness of the individuals in relation to their level and diversity of education.

Place and Duration of Study: Sample: The research included 721 individuals, including 605 post-graduate science and social science students (Karnatak and Bangalore Universities of Karnataka State), and 116 less educated individuals (Of Dharwad Taluk of Karnataka State), duration of study between February 2011 to July 2012.

Methodology: The less educated individuals were those who are involved in agriculture and daily labour activities having an educational qualification of primary education to pre-university course. Whereas highly educated individuals were from the Karnatak and Bangalore Universities studying in 3rd semester of their science and social science courses. The environmental awareness test developed by Jha (1998) was administered individually and the responses were obtained by the subjects.

Sample: We included 721 subjects comprising of less educated and highly educated individuals age ranging between 22 to 24 years. The selected subjects were administered individually environmental awareness test. After calculating mean and SD for the groups ANOVA and 't' analyses were carried out to find significant difference between the groups.

Results:

High mean scores (Dharwad 51.22, Bangalore 51.94) were obtained for the highly educated individuals and low mean scores (39.45) for the less educated individuals. 't' test revealed significant difference between them and it was found that the highly educated individuals have higher environmental awareness than the less educated individuals. However, the study did not find support for the assumption (Dharwad Science mean 51.10 and Social Science mean 51.31, 't' 0.21, $p>0.05$, Bangalore Science mean 52.36 and Social Science mean 51.71, 't' 0.65, $p>0.05$, Science mean 51.66 and Environmental Science mean 56.00, 't' 1.75, $p>0.05$) that diversity in education in terms of science, social science and environmental science has differential effect on environmental awareness of the students.

Conclusion: The environmental awareness of the highly educated individuals are higher than the less educated individuals. However, the diversity in education has no significant effect on environmental awareness of the individuals.

Keywords: Level and Diversity of Education, Environmental Awareness

1. INTRODUCTION

The 20th century is said to have been an extraordinary eventful century. The world during this period has seen spectacular political, social, cultural, economic, scientific and technological progress. One of the challenges of this period has been the growing need to find ways of improving the prosperity and well-being of people without compromising the

environment. This challenge is also very serious in South Asian region, may be even more than in other parts of the world. The region is today faced with acute and serious environmental problems. Industrial growth in the region has taken place at the cost of environment and the challenges to sustainable development are staggering in number, scale and complexity.

Sustainable development (SD) is a process of changes in which the exploitation of resources, direction of investment, orientation of technical development and institutional change are made consistent with future as well as present social and economic needs. The goal of SD is enormous and complex. An effective strategy for sustainable development would need to have several components – political will, access to technology, finances, education and research process and experimentation. Education is one of these many tools and has been recognized as an indispensable part of SD strategies.

Education has become an essential strategy for governments, many local and international non-government groups and organizations in protecting the environment [1]. It was in the nineties that the United Nations Conference of Environment and Development took place in Rio de Janeiro, the so called Rio-92, focused on global environmental problems and on issues related to the central idea of sustainable development. As a consequence of the Rio-92, a set of proposals of actions for the subsequent years was expressed in the agenda 21. Chapter 36 of Agenda 21 which states that: "Education including formal education, public awareness and training should be recognized as process by which human beings and societies can reach their fullest potential. Education is critical for promoting sustainable development and improving the capacity of people to address environment and development issues [2]".

According to Maloney, Wand and Braught [3] it is very important to assess the awareness and knowledge of individuals on the environment, ecology or pollution during and after periods of education, the environmental concept that the individual attains is the most important factor that explains their attitude towards environment and environmental protection [4-5]. Education is one of the important factors to achieve cultural awareness on environmental protection. Environmental knowledge results in increase of accountability and inner motivation. A review of literature indicated that art education like other school curriculum could contribute to the development of attitudes, values and behaviours toward the natural environment [6]. In essence, in depth knowledge and the intention to act are all variables associated with responsible environmental behavior. Hence, environmental educators hope that education can help acquire a strong environmental awareness so that their environmental attitudes and ultimately behavior will be environmentally mindful [7]. New House [8] adds that knowledge or awareness of such environmental problem is clearly a requisite for an appropriate course of action.

Science Education:

Science underlies the process of understanding nature and natural phenomena. There exists great opportunities for incorporating and dealing such concepts through science. Where learning-by-doing, outdoor teaching, experimental learning, data analysis etc. are very closely associated with the science and environmental education. They help students to develop skills of observation, enquiry, analyzing, experimenting which in turn provides practical awareness, knowledge and favourable attitude towards the environment. Science education has significant relevance with the problem and issues related to the environment. In science classes it is normal to arise situations where teachers and students have discussion about technological devices, their relation to environment and to the idea of development. Makki et al., [9] opined that among the various subjects taught in elementary school, science is emphasized as the most significant contributor to acquire the knowledge on environmental issues. In line with this elementary education curriculum developers tend to teach environmental education through science.

In the last two decades, the literature in science teaching has pointed out that science teachers' beliefs can be strongly influential to students' thinking. As the teachers are highly influential in this preparation, it is very acceptable the idea that they are co-

responsible for constructing a social future where the concept of sustainable development can be clearly present [10].

Environmental Education:

Environmental education (EE) is recognized as the process that would help individuals to acquire essential knowledge and skills to take positive action towards a better environment. Thus 'action' distinguishes environmental education from the conventional concept of education. Environmental education takes place in many different forms: in-class programs, outdoor classrooms on school grounds, day-field trip to nature centers and natural areas and residential programs of two or more days [11]. Hence, EE is the education that seeks to develop a population that has the knowledge, skills, values and motivation to solve environmental problems and work for sustainable development.

Environmental awareness is a fundamental understanding of the natural world. This is essential for developing future sustainable development. Madsen [12] concludes that knowledge, beliefs and commitment are necessary components when addressing environmental concerns. It is likely that environmental education specialist demonstrated higher levels of experience with a commitment to environmental issues resulting in higher levels of awareness, knowledge and attitude. These results suggest positive implications in terms of curriculum implementation, knowledge and attitude directly related to the process of teaching [13]. Research on university levels environmental classes has consistently found positive value changes in students at the inclusion of the course [14-15].

Traditional education has role of transforming existing knowledge of society to individuals and also promote young people's competencies for critically analyzing and reflecting the environmental awareness. Various researchers have tried to measure the effectiveness of in-classroom environmental education taking place [16-22]). It is realized that education is the only one of the factors contributing to learning and thinking in a cognitive learning process [23], which motivates people's knowledge concerning the environmental issues.

The role of education in understanding, protecting and solving environmental problems has been universally recognized since 1970 [24]. Since 2000, researchers have considered the use of environmental education in schools, colleges and universities [25] [7] [26]. Researchers subsequently examined students' knowledge and attitudes towards the environments [27-28] [4] and methods for teaching environmental awareness [29-31] [14] [32]. The environmental awareness and knowledge of the students improved as a result of the computer-assisted education within the study [33].

Having courses on the protection of environment and nature within any time of education statistically affect the environmental awareness, attitudes and sensitivity. Of the respondents tested 80% had courses on the environment during their education, their average environmental awareness, attitude and sensitivity, values and levels were higher than the respondents without courses [34]. However, Yucel et al., [35] confirmed that having such courses is not indicative for environmental awareness but environmental attitudes and sensitivity of the individuals had courses were prominently higher.

It was found that educated people are more concerned about the environment than the less educated [36]. Diamontopoulos et al., [37] conclude that there is a positive correlation between education and all the components of the environmental domain (knowledge, attitude and behavior).

2. METHODOLOGY

Objectives

To study the effect of level and diversity of education on environmental awareness of the individuals.

Hypotheses

1. Highly educated individuals have significantly higher level of environmental awareness than less educated individuals.
2. Among the highly educated, respondents of science course have significantly higher level of environmental awareness than respondents of social science course.
3. Among the science students, respondents of environmental science course have significantly higher level of environmental awareness than respondents of other science course.

Study Area:

The study area included rural taluk of Dharwad, and Dharwad and Bangalore cities. Dharwad is class II city of Karnataka State, India, which is located 490 km North West from Bangalore, the capital of Karnataka.

Study Sample:

Sample included 721 individuals, including 605 post-graduate students. Of the total sample 116 were less educated, remaining 316 and 289 post graduate students were selected from Dharwad and Bangalore respectively. The sample characteristics and the selection of samples are mentioned below.

1. Less Educated Individuals:

Less educated individuals were selected from rural areas of Dharwad taluk. All of these subjects were educated from their primary education to pre-university level of education. They are engaged in agriculture and daily labour activities.

2. Highly Educated Individuals:

Highly educated individuals in the present study comprised of post-graduate students studying in Karnatak and Bangalore universities. The students of science and social science discipline were included in the study from both the universities.

a. Dharwad Sample:

Students studying in the 3rd semester of their post-graduate courses of Economics, History, Political Science, Social Work and Sociology were included for social-science sample group. Similarly, the students of post-graduate courses of Botany, Chemistry, Geography, Geology, Applied Genetics, Physics and Zoology were included for the science sample group.

b. Bangalore Sample:

The above students were selected from Bangalore University studying in the 3rd semester of their post-graduate courses of Economics, History, Political Science, Social Work and Sociology for social-science sample group and post-graduate courses of Geography, Geology, Environmental Science, Applied Genetics, Physics and Zoology were included for the science sample group.

The students in terms of their departments were matched from the Karnatak and Bangalore University. However, in the Bangalore sample groups the students of environmental science course were included.

Rationale of the Study:

The prime rationale of the present study is that higher education has significant exposure for the individuals to acquire knowledge and awareness about our natural environment than lower education. The next rationale of the present study is that, among the highly educated, the students of science course have higher awareness since they have wide scope for learning about our natural environment than students of social science courses. Even it is deduced from the analogy that the students of environmental science course have still better awareness about the environment than other science students due to their in-depth study on environmental issues.

Data:

The data used for the analysis were obtained from primary sources of administering the environmental awareness test. The test was administered in group for the highly educated and individually for the less educated. As the doubts aroused, if any, among the respondents, the researcher clarified them. However, care was taken not to educate them about any of the issues since it may affect their responses favourably. The highly educated responded self-marking the choices given for each of the statements.

Besides, care was taken to administer the test to the less educated individuals individually. The test translated into Kannada was administered orally and their responses were recorded carefully by the researcher.

Tools:

1. Environmental Awareness Ability Measure:

The information on environmental awareness of the subjects was collected using the above scale developed by Jha [38]. This test consists of 51 items including 43 positive and 8 negative items. It measures extent and degree of awareness on dimensions of environment such as causes of pollution, conservation of soil, forest, air, energy, and conservation of human health, wild life and animal husbandry.

The scale has two response options i.e., agree and disagree. Each agreed response was awarded a score of one and each disagree response was awarded a score of zero. But the negative items were scored inversely. Thus, on the total scale possible raw scores range between 0 to 51.

Three indices of reliability were determined by the test author. Split-half reliability was found to be 0.61, secondly, it was calculated by K/R method and was found to be 0.84 and thirdly it was determined by test-retest methods, it ranged from 0.74 and 0.71 respectively after three and six months respectively. Thus the environmental awareness ability measure bears an adequate degree of reliability.

To determine validity of the environmental awareness ability measure co-efficient of co-relation between the scores of present scale and environmental awareness scale of Tarniji was computed by the test author. The co-efficient of co-relation was found to be 0.83. The scale has face and content validity.

Statistical Techniques:

After scoring the data, the raw scores were converted into standard scores, the mean and standard deviations were calculated. For the comparative analysis one-way ANOVA and 't' test were carried out.

Results and Discussion:

Education Level and Environmental Awareness

Table 01: Means and standard deviations of the sample groups on environmental awareness in relation to their education level.

Environmental Awareness	Less Educated Individuals (n=116)	Highly Educated Dharwad (n=316)	Highly Educated Bangalore (n=289)
Mean	39.45	51.22	51.94
SD	12.49	08.78	08.23

Table 02: One way ANOVA for environmental awareness scores of the sample groups in relation to their education level.

Sources of Variants	Sum of Squares (SS)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean Sum of F-ratio Squares
---------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------

Between groups	14367.639	2	7183.820	83.49**
Within groups	61777.171	718	86.041	
Total	76144.810	720		

** Significant at 0.01 level

Environmental awareness results in relation to education level have been depicted in Table 01. The mean scores of the highly educated individuals of Dharwad and Bangalore are 51.22 and 51.94 (SD 08.78 and 08.23) respectively, whereas the less educated individuals have a lower mean scores of 39.45 (SD 12.49). To find out the significance level of difference between the three groups one way ANOVA test was carried out. The ANOVA results depicted in Table 02 shows that the obtained F ratio is 83.49, which is highly significant at 0.01 level of confidence. This implies that there is a significant difference between the less educated and the highly educated individuals of Dharwad and Bangalore domicile on environmental awareness. Further 't' test was computed to ascertain the significant difference between the paired groups of less educated individuals and highly educated individuals.

Table 03: Means, standard deviations and t-value of the less educated individuals and the highly educated individuals on environmental awareness.

Environmental Awareness	Less Educated Individuals (n=116)	Highly Educated Individuals (n=605)	't' value
Mean	39.45	51.56	12.88**
SD	12.49	08.52	

** Significant at 0.01 level

Table 03 depicts the environmental awareness scores of less educated and highly educated individuals. There was significant difference between the groups (less educated mean 39.45 (SD 12.49)/highly educated mean 51.56 (SD 08.52), $t=12.88$, $p<0.001$). The mean scores clearly imply that the highly educated individuals have higher level of environmental awareness than the less educated individuals. This indicates that the higher education has significant effect in increase of students' environmental awareness. The above results are in accordance with the earlier research findings. For example, the findings of the study by Morrison [39] emphasize the importance of education level on environmental awareness.

Literature indicates a positive relationship between education attainment and environmental concern. Consequently, as the level of education increases so does environmental concern [40]; [41];[42].

Morgil et al., [33] used in their study computer-assisted technological applications in order to develop environmental knowledge and awareness. In order to make students obtain environmentally related knowledge, the opportunity to conduct environmental experiments, concepts, pictures, short films, tables, graphics and animations on the internet was given to the students. There was a 20% increase in environmental awareness and 10-12% increase in the environmental knowledge of the students. The computer-assisted education applications provided an increase in the environmental awareness and knowledge. Similar results were determined in the studies of Brooks et al., [43]. The positive relationship between education and environmental attitudes demonstrates that educational programs could enhance citizen's environmental awareness and provide them with the information and resources needed to protect their communities environmentally [44]. Thus educational programs seem especially timely, since citizens are becoming acquainted with environmental issues facing their communities and so might be more receptive to

information programs. Hence, educated people are more likely to show higher levels of environmental concern than the less educated.

Diversity in Education and Environmental Awareness

Table 04: Means, standard deviations and t-values of the science and the social science students of Dharwad and Bangalore respectively on environmental awareness.

Environmental Awareness	Mean	SD	't' value
Science Courses (n=144)	51.10	09.31	0.21
Social Science Courses (n=172)	51.31	08.33	
Science Courses (n=105)	52.36	09.45	0.65
Social Science Courses (n=184)	51.71	07.47	

Earlier studies have emphasized the importance of science education in acquiring the high environmental awareness. In the present study comparative analysis was carried out further to find out the effect of diversity in education in terms science and social science education on environmental awareness. The overall results of the Table 04 indicate that the science and the social science students do not differ significantly on environmental awareness (Dharwad science mean 51.10 (SD 09.31)/Social science mean 51.31 (SD 08.33), $t=0.21$, $p>0.05$ and Bangalore science mean 52.36 (SD 09.45)/Social science mean 51.71 (SD 07.47), $t=0.65$, $p>0.05$). This provides a weak evidence of difference between the science and the social science students on environmental awareness.

From the above results we can infer that when the variable education is held constant the diversity in education - science and social science courses do not have significant effect on environmental awareness of the individuals. The overall results provide a weak evidence of the effect of diversity in education on environmental awareness of the individuals.

Science and Environmental Science Courses

Table 05: Means, standard deviations and t-value of the students of environmental science and other science courses on environmental awareness.

Environmental Awareness	Science Course (n=88)	Environmental Science Course (n=17)	't' value
Mean	51.66	56.00	1.75
SD	09.98	04.69	

The present study also focused on the effect of environmental education on environmental awareness. The objective was to determine differential effect environmental science and other science courses on environmental awareness. This study highlights non-significant results (Science course mean 51.66 (SD 09.98)/Environmental science course mean 56.00 (SD 04.69), $t=1.75$, $p>0.05$) pertaining to the assumption that environmental science course

295 has significant effect than the other science courses. The present study provides lack of
296 support for significant effect of environmental science course on environmental awareness.
297 Hence, it was implied from the study that the integrated and separate course approaches
298 have same effect on enhancing environmental awareness.

299 This affirms that when the education level is same, diversity in education has no
300 significant effect on environmental awareness. This may be because the students of higher
301 education get knowledge, other than their education, through print and electronic media.
302 Hence, the present study explores the importance of higher education than science
303 education in acquiring higher environmental awareness. In support of the above findings [45]
304 could not find significant differences between environmental sensitivity scores of the
305 university students both had courses and had n courses on the environment.

306 **Conclusions:**

307 It emerged from the study that the highly educated individuals have better awareness
308 pertaining to environmental aspects and related problems than the less educated individuals.
309 Also in the present study a striking finding is that diversity in education - science and social
310 science had no differential effect on environmental awareness of the respondents. It has
311 been supported by the respondents' results of both class II city and metro city.

312 The other dimension of science education in offering the subjects on environment is
313 through integrated and separate course approach. It was evidenced in the study that on
314 environmental awareness these approaches have no significant diverse effect; the
315 awareness was found to be similar among the respondents of both science and
316 environmental science courses. This implies that when the education is controlled for higher
317 level, the diversity in courses has no significant effect on environmental awareness of the
318 pupils. The inference is drawn from the above findings that when education level increases
319 in the individual they have wide opportunity to learn more about their environment through
320 other means other than their formal education. Here other sources like reading paper
321 articles, watching television programs on environmental issues etc may contribute for their
322 higher environmental awareness.

324 **References:**

- 325 [1] World Commission on Environment and Development. Our Common Future. UK: Oxford
326 University Press; 1987.
- 327 [2] Hoerisch, H. A comparative study on environmental awareness and environmentally
328 beneficial behavior in India. CMS ENVIRS Center, New Delhi; 2002.
- 329 [3] Maloney MP, Ward MP, Braucht GN. Psychology in action – A revised scale for the
330 measurement of ecological attitudes and knowledge. *American Psychologist*. 1975;787-
331 790.
- 332 [4] Weigel, R., & Weigel, J. (1978). Environmental concern, the development of a measure.
333 *Environment and Behaviour*, 10(1), 3-15.
- 334 [5] Kuhlemeier, H., & Baergh, H. L. N. (199). Environmental knowledge, attitudes and
335 behavior in Dutch secondary education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 30(2),
336 4-14.
- 337 [6] Henry, (1993). Philosophical inquiry: A practical approach to aesthetics. *Art Education*,
338 46(3), 20-24.
- 339 [7] Palmberg, I., & Kuru, J. (2000). Outdoor activities as a bases for environmental
340 responsibility. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 31 (4), 32-36.
- 341 [8] Newhouse, N. (1990). Implications of attitude and behavior research for environmental
342 conservation. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 22(1), 26-32.

- 343 [9] Makki, M., Boujaoude, S., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2003). Lebanese secondary school
344 students' environmental knowledge and attitudes. *Environmental Education Research*,
345 9(1), 21-34.
- 346 [10] Anderson, R. D., & Mitchener, C. P. (1994). *Research on science teacher education*.
347 Handbook of Research on Science Teaching and Learning, New York: Macmillan.
- 348 [11] Dettmann-Easler, D., & Pease, J. L. (1999). Evaluating the effectiveness of residential
349 environmental education programs in fostering positive attitudes towards wildlife. *Journal*
350 *of Environmental Education*, 31(1), 33-39.
- 351 [12] Madsen, P. (1996). What can universities and professional schools do to save the
352 environment? In J. B. Callicott and F. J. Da Rocha (eds.), *Earth Summit Ethics: Toward*
353 *a Reconstructive Postmodern Philosophy of Environmental Education* (71-91). Albany
354 State University of New York Press.
- 355 [13] Moseley, C., Reinke, K., & Bookout, V. (2002). The effect of teaching outdoor
356 environmental education on pre-service teachers' attitudes toward self-efficacy and
357 outcome expectancy. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 34(1), 9-15.
- 358 [14] Leeming, F. C., Dwyer, W. O., Porter, B. E., & Cobern, M. K. (1993). Outcome research
359 in environmental education: A critical review. *The Journal of Environmental Education*,
360 24(4), 8-21.
- 361 [15] McMillan, E. E., Wright, T., & Beazley, K. (2004). Impact of university-level environmental
362 studies class on students' values. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 35(3), 19-28.
- 363 [16] Jaus, H. (1982). The effect of environmental education instruction on children's attitudes
364 in elementary school students. *Science Education*, 66(5), 690-692.
- 365 [17] Kinsey, T. G., & Wheatley, J. H. (1984). The effect of an environmental studies course on
366 the defensibility of environmental attitudes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*,
367 21(7), 675-683.
- 368 [18] Monroe, M. P., & Kaplan, S. (1988). When words speak louder than actions:
369 Environmental problem solving in the classroom. *Journal of Environmental Education*,
370 19(3), 38-44.
- 371 [19] Perdue, R. R., & Warden, D. S. (1981). Environmental education and attitude change.
372 *Journal of Environmental Education*, 12(3), 25-28.
- 373 [20] Schwaab, K. E. (1983). Instructional methods: Their use and effectiveness in
374 environmental education. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 14(2), 8-12.
- 375 [21] Simmons, D. A. (1989). More infusion confusion: A look at environmental education
376 curriculum materials. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 20(4), 15-18.
- 377 [22] Singletary, T. J. (1992). Case studies of selected high school environmental education
378 classes. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 23(4), 35-40.
- 379 [23] Jenkins, J. J. (1979). Four points to remember: A tetrahedral model of memory
380 experiments. In: *Levels of processing in human memory*. L. S. Cermak and F.I. M. Craik
381 (Eds.), (pp,429-449). Hillsdale, N J: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 382 [24] Shobeiri, S. M., Omidvar, B., & Prahallada, N. N. (2007). A comparative study on
383 environmental awareness among secondary school students in Iran and India.
384 *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 1 (1), 28-34.
- 385 [25] Iozzi, L. (1989). What research says to the educator, Part one: Environmental education
386 and effective domain. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 20 (3), 3-9.

- 387 [26] Shin, D. S. (2000). Environmental education course development for pre-service
388 secondary school science teachers in the Republic of Korea. *The Journal of*
389 *Environmental Education*, 31(4), 11-18.
- 390 [27] Ramsey, J. M., Hungerford, H. R., & Volk, T. (1989). A technique for analyzing
391 environmental issues. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 16 (3), 1-5.
- 392 [28] Thompson, J., & Gasteigner, E. (1985). Environmental attitude surveys of university
393 students: 1971 - 1981. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 17(1), 13-22.
- 394 [29] Attarian, A. (1996). Integrating values clarification into outdoor adventure programs and
395 activities. *Journal of Physical Education*, 67(8), 41-44.
- 396 [30] Bryant, C. K., & Hungerford, H. R. (1977). An analysis of strategies for teaching
397 environmental concepts and values clarification in kindergarten. (ERIC Document
398 Reproduction Service No. ED 137 117).
- 399 [31] Howe, R., & Disinger, J. (1998). Teaching environmental education using the out-of
400 school settings and mass media. ERIC/SMEAC Environmental Education Digest, No.1.
401 Washington, DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement. (ERIC Document
402 Reproduction Service No. ED 320 759).
- 403 [32] Shepherd, C., & Speelman, L. R. (1985). Affecting environmental attitudes through
404 outdoor education. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 17(2), 20-23.
- 405 [33] Morgil, I., Arda, S., Secken, N., Yavuz, S., & Oskay O. O. (2003). The influence of
406 computer-assisted education on environmental knowledge and environmental
407 awareness. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice*, 5(2), 99-110.
- 408 [34] Mansuroglu, S., Karaguzel, O., Atik, M., & Kiniklim P. (2009). Determining environmental
409 sensitivity of inhabitants of Antalya City, Turkey. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and*
410 *Environment*, 7(3/4), 961-969.
- 411 [35] Yucel, M. (1994). Adana halkinin cevreye duyarliginin belirlenmesi. Cukurova Universitesi.
412 *Ziraat Fakultesi Dergisi*, 25, 121-136.
- 413 [36] Harper, C. L. (2008). *Environment and society: Human Perspectives on Environmental*
414 *Issues*. 4th edition, New Jersey, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 415 [37] Diamantopoulis, A., Schlegelmilch, B. B., Sincorics R. R., & Bohlen, G. M. (2003). Can
416 sociodemographics still play a role in profiling green consumers? A review of the
417 evidence and empirical investigation. *Journal of Business research*, 56, 465-480.
- 418 [38] Jha, P. K. (1998). *Manual for Environment Awareness Ability Measure*. Agra: Agra
419 National Psychological Corporation.
- 420 [39] Morrison, J. (2006). Environmental awareness of Waterville junior high school students.
421 Colby College. Environmental Studies Dept, Doctoral thesis Adviser (s) Cole, F. Rusell.
- 422 [40] Arcury, T., Johnson, T., & Scollay, S. (1986). Ecological worldview and environmental
423 knowledge: The "New Environmental Paradigm". *Journal of Environmental Education*, 17,
424 35-40.
- 425 [41] Arcury, T., & Johnson, T. P. (1987). Public environmental knowledge: A statewide survey.
426 *The Journal of Environmental Knowledge*, 18(4), 31-37.
- 427 [42] Buttel, F. H., & Flinn, W. L. (1974). The structure of support for the environmental
428 movement 1968-1970. *Rural Sociology*, 39, 56-59.
- 429 [43] Brooks, D.W., Lui, D., & Walter, J. L. (1997). Teaching chemistry on the internet.
430 http://horizon.unc.edu/projects/monograph/cd/Science_Mathematics/Liu.asp (Copy ROM
431 Article).

- 432 [44] McMillan, E. E., Wright, T., & Beazley, K. (2004). Impact of university-level environmental
433 studies class on students' values. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 35(3), 19-28.
- 434 [45] Celen, U., Yldiz, A., Atak, N., Tabak, R. S., Arisoy, M. (2002). A. U. Saglik Egitim Fak.
435 Organcilerinin cevre duyarlilik ve iliskili faktorler. www.dicle.edu.tr/halks/m69.htm.
- 436