



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_14191
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural Competency for Working in Agricultural and Extension Education
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper presents a good idea in terms of its focus on interculturality and how it is important for developing students' knowledge in a particular field of study. However, the aim of this paper is too ambitious in claiming that it is valuable for personnel development around the world. There are certain grammatical mistakes the writer has to take into account to have a well-written text. There is a sort of ambiguity that leads to misunderstanding that's why the writer should be as clear as possible. This can be achieved through a careful plan of what is stating. A new section to define intercultural competence. In which context to apply this suggested programme?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Southampton, UK