SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJESBS_15162**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Teacher Burnout: A Review of Sources and Ramifications**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Nil**	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check typos 1. Section 1, para 1, line 9, "stagescan" is it "stages can"? 2. Section 2.1, para 1, line 4, "2014.Out", is it "2014. Out.. "? 3. Section 3.1, para 1, line 3 & 4, "83/3%", "16/6%", 96/6%", do you mean "83.3%" etc?	
Optional/General comments	Please check Section 2.1, para 2 Do you intentionally use italic for certain part within this para?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**University College of Technology Sarawak, Malaysia**