



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_15162
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher Burnout: A Review of Sources and Ramifications
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please check typos 1. Section 1, para 1, line 9, "stagescan" is it "stages can"? 2. Section 2.1, para 1, line 4, "2014.Out", is it "2014. Out .."? 3. Section 3.1, para 1, line 3 & 4, "83/3%", "16/6%", "96/6%", do you mean "83.3%" etc?	Thank you very much for your valuable comments. These points were taken into account and the paper was revised accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please check Section 2.1, para 2 Do you intentionally use italic for certain part within this para?	These were the names of journals; so we italicised them.