



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_13084
Title of the Manuscript:	PSYCHOLOGICAL INCLINATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH ADOLESCENTS' SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR: THE MODERATING EFFECT OF GENDER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comments Writing should be American or English, however in the article both of them can be observed. 74-Behaviour 85: behavior. 84-Gender and gender roles are one of the factors: Methodology: statistical community should be defined. Research design should be named clearly. Validity and reliability of the (SRBI should be reported, and if possible the result of pilot study in this regard (if any) Name of correlation coefficient (spearman,	Achieved Ditto Not really. They were used in the study to explain how difficult it is define sex and sexual behaviour based on cultural values of every society Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Pearson, etc.) Theory which is applied in the article should be described deeper. In my opinion another theory like feminism can be used to describe sexual inclinations between F-M. In discussion, no relationship has been stated between findings and the theory (support or reject) Is it necessary to add DOI in the references? If so, it should be done. Also they should be checked there are some mistakes: 371 and 389after brackets no full stop.	Done Done This a brief research report. Inclusion of theories will make it to robust and look like a dissertation, thus, it is not always necessary. Related to the above Noted Done
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article has novelty and potential to add our knowledge in the field. Did they make an agreement with the participants who participate in the study? If so, should be mentioned.	Yes, now reported.