



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_11442
Title of the Manuscript:	A Research Based on the Chinese Distribution System: Social justice, merit principle preference and stress in a low socioeconomic group
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. What is the main objective of the study? It is not stated in main text. 2. Line 89: Based on which previous research you are suspecting? Please add literatures. 3. Why are you intending to do this study? 4. Reference sequence must be in numerical order. 5. Add a subheading for statistical analysis and describe analytical issues. 6. Must have ethical permission from relevant authority. 7. Line 187 -193: Please re-write. Now it is not understandable. 8. Please re-write the whole discussion as in many places you have used the same terminologies, even if you are comparing. This is complete misleading. 9. Conclusion should be exclusively based on your own findings.	1. The main objective of the study is to investigate that social justice has a positive effect on the individuals of low socioeconomic status, who have a high preference for the merit principle. 2. In this part, I am so sorry about my incorrect representation. I want to say, based on the above mentioned which I have stated in main text (Line 82-89). 3. Therefore, according to the Chinese government policy of social distribution and the circumstance of social Stratification in China, and the preference of personal's distribution value having different opinions among the low socioeconomic status, we try to explore that whether social justice has a positive effect on the individuals of low socioeconomic status, especially, who have a high preference for the merit principle. This study is used for buffering conflict between the low status and the high status. As a consequence, we proposed some hypothesis. (Line 91-95) 4. Yes. We have checked the reference sequence. And expect cited literatures are presented in the above, we adopt the same number. 5. Thanks for your proposition. It is worth mentioning that we have included



SDI Review Form 1.6

		“Preliminary Analysis and Hypothesis Testing” in the part of results, therefore, It will seem some repetition, if we add a subheading for statistical analysis and describe analytical. 6. We have got permission from Academic Board and we added it in the main text. (Line 124) 7. —8. Thanks for your suggestion. I had made some change in the discussion. 8. Thanks for your proposition. The conclusion is based on my own findings.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 19: incorrect reference style. Line 27-28: Please re-write the sentence/s. Incorrect reference style. Check reference style in whole text.	Okay. Thank you for your suggestions. According to your suggestion, We have checked the reference style seriously.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		