SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_15670**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On the effect of technological gap on international patenting: a multi-criteria approach**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In section 3, the equation 1 has been presented, but the forms was mixed up. Please enter correct ones again.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. In the conclusions part, if we take a high ranking country and a low ranking country into consideration, what the results will be in your method that you mentioned?	
Optional/General comments	1. According to this paper, three criteria are main variables which can affect the country's technological progress. Are there any other variables should we take into consideration? If yes, please express them; if no, please describe the reasons.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Taiwan**