



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15670
Title of the Manuscript:	On the effect of technological gap on international patenting: a multi-criteria approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The statistical analysis is not sufficient. More statistical analysis is required to conclude an effective and accurate result. - The OECD countries are more than 30 countries. Author only studies 18 countries. - The selection process is not clear - The date of ratification is different between countries. For instance Poland in 1996 and Netherlands 1961 therefore the comparison is not effective.	-My dataset cover the period to 2000-2011 -The 18 countries were chosen on the basis of data availability -
Minor REVISION comments	- Paper must be re-written from scratch	
Optional/General comments	Please clarify the ethical issues if any	