



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15647
Title of the Manuscript:	Mining companies and corruption behavior: A local analysis in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author needs to more clearly substantiate the thesis that it is 300 respondents selected, on which have most clearly see the role of corruption in the mining industry of Burkina Faso. In addition, it is unclear what is the role these phenomena in the economy as a whole	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Too long a literature review, it is necessary to cut it and a list of references at least twice, is also desirable to structure the article in accordance with the samples by entering, for example, section «Discussion»	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Must be complied with the requirement of unity of the English language, while one of the headlines reads: "Description des variables"	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Russia