



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15647
Title of the Manuscript:	Mining companies and corruption behavior: A local analysis in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some more details on the 300 individuals sample distribution and criteria's used (i.e. size of the mine, proximity with urban, suburban areas, education level etc.) for this purpose will make easier to understand and evaluate the accuracy of the process. A question, why was chosen the psychological theory of human behavior of Bandura theoretical basis and not considered more than one approach?! How is the stochastic error of corruption ε in the probability modeling of the process calculated?.....to be better described	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors did a full description and complete analysis on the corruption factors, especially in the mining sector, worldwide and also focused only in Burkina Faso. Through the analysis of 300 interviews, they tried to confirm the existence of corruption and analysing it by using micro and macroeconomic approaches. They tried successfully to identify the main factors that have the bigger influence in regard with the corruptive behaviour. They did a good and in depth analysis in the chain of	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	weakness and negative impacts caused by the corruption. Chosen rightly the main corruption parameters as cultural, natural, physical, human, social, and economic.	
--	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nevton Kodhelaj
Department, University & Country	Energy Resources, Polytechnic University of Tirana, Albania