



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15647
Title of the Manuscript:	Mining companies and corruption behavior: A local analysis in Burkina Faso
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author refers to his chosen data about polls, but breaks the logical chain of conclusions. Nowhere to be seen that the respondents well imagine the situation of corruption in the mining industry, because that interviewed representatives of these companies does not mean their knowledge of the issue, or even non-participation in corruption. In addition, the authors did not take into account the reviewers' comments on the reduction of the literature review and a list of links, this question simply omitted.	We greatly aknowledge the reviewer for his relevant comments and remarks which aim to improve the paper quality. For dealing with the first remark, we have precise in the following paragraph that there is an important part of the respondents know well corruption in mining area. The investigation has also revealed that more than the behalf of respondents have experienced corruption or have seen corruption acts in situ. Indeed, the data show that 58% of the interviewed identified some practices of corruption they have experienced directly or indirectly in mining sites areas. For example, when considering mining employees, workers syndicates certified that they have experienced some scenes of corruption. To them (19.33%), during recruitment periods, some of the recruited have been asked to promise a part of the salary before being recruited as workers. Considering local civil-organizations leaders particularly breeders organization, some reveal that mining responsible used to give them money because they able to make avoiding demonstration from the group of communities since them pastures have been entirely destroyed by mining activities without any compensation. According to goods and services providers to mining enterprises, several (7.67%) said that for being attributed a market from mining companies, one should guaranteed a commission of about 10% of the market value to the of services and product providing in the company. And then, the product particularly chemicals and other goods sometimes have the bad quality which cause long run damage to the local environment without any compensation. We sincerely apology ourselves to the reviewer because we didn't give satisfactory responses to his/her comments. That may be because we didn't catch very well at this moment. So, in this version, we have reduced the literature review and references that we have listed now (see the yellow parts of in the paper).