



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Economics, Management &amp; Trade</a>                                                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2014_BJEMT_15273                                                                                                         |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECTOF TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT:<br>MODERATING ROLE OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>The paper looks don't acceptable since it has not required deep literature review. It is short to academic presentation and it is unadequate to represent the scientific work. Author should improve the references section, he must to try improve the innovative sie of this work. I have read many deep articles about same research problem. When I compare it with exist literature, this article is not yet the article it may be subwork to new research. Current version of this paper must be improve and re-design. Author must re-present his results in innavatioce and attractive way.</p> | <p>Literature review has been included in the revised paper and overall paper is also re-designed.</p>                                                                        |