

Original Research Article

An Exploratory Analysis of Demographic, Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors as Determinants of Job Satisfaction Level of a Conservation Agency's Employees in Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study determined the current aggregate levels of job satisfaction, the intrinsic, extrinsic and demographic factors that are related to the job satisfaction of Nigeria National Park Service employees.

Study design: Mention the design of the study here.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in the seven National Parks being administered by Nigeria National Park service. The parks are Chad Basin, Cross River, Gashka Gumti, Kainji Lake, Kamuku, Okomu and Old Oyo National Parks. It was conducted from 2008 to 2010.

Methodology: The study population was the employees of Nigeria National Parks. List of employees was obtained from each of the parks; the total number of employees was estimated to be 2000 individuals from which 231 (representing 11.6% of the population) were randomly selected for the study. About 300 questionnaires were distributed from where 231 were returned, amounting to 77% response rate. Data collection was through self-administered questionnaires. Job satisfaction was assessed using the modified form of Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire and the modified form of 2002 Virginia Soil and Water Conservation District (VSWCD) Employee Evaluation.

Results: The study showed that the overall job satisfaction of the employees was low. All the employees were not satisfied with any item of intrinsic job satisfaction factors while they were only satisfied with one item of extrinsic job satisfaction factors. They were also satisfied with five items of VSWCD employee evaluation issues. Education and monthly pay were the predictors of their overall job satisfaction while there were correlations between intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction factors and overall job satisfaction.

Conclusion: The study has contributed to the existing knowledge of job satisfaction, particularly as related to conservation organisations' employees. The study showed that job satisfaction level of Nigeria National Parks employees was low. They were not satisfied with any items on intrinsic job satisfaction factors while they were only satisfied with one item on extrinsic satisfaction. It is highly imperative for Nigeria National Parks Service to improve the working conditions, including pay and all other job satisfaction factors of employees in order to enhance their job satisfaction level.

Keywords: *Job, satisfaction, intrinsic, extrinsic, determinants, employees*

18 1. INTRODUCTION

19

20 The study of job satisfaction has been one of the most investigated concepts in
 21 organizational, and industrial psychology [1]. Employee job satisfaction is a topic for most
 22 professions [2]. Job satisfaction is important because physical wellness, job productivity and
 23 occupational commitment are the results [3]. It has been stated that job satisfaction of
 24 employees plays a vital role for organization performance both in developed and developing
 25 countries [4]. Job satisfaction remains one of the most important variables in the study of
 26 work, either as a dependent or independent variable. In the end, as the law of effect would
 27 have it, it is satisfaction that rides human choice and action [5]. It has also been established
 28 a relationship between job satisfaction and performance. In human relations theory, job
 29 satisfaction functions as a variable mediating between social relations (with supervisor, co-
 30 workers, management) on the one hand and performance and productivity on the other
 31 hand. This can be called the satisfaction-cause-performance hypothesis: that job
 32 performance depends on the workers being satisfied, and conversely, that job performance
 33 will suffer if the worker is dissatisfied [5]. Job satisfaction has a positive impact on
 34 productivity, presence and performance. Satisfied workers like to perform more willingly and
 35 happily, which increases productivity [6].

36

37 Job satisfaction has been defined by various authors. Nearly most of the definitions of job
 38 satisfaction present a corresponding resemblance with those of attitudes because job
 39 satisfaction is considered as an attitude [7]. Job satisfaction is defined as the degree of
 40 pleasure an employee derives from his or her job [8]. It was also defined as a pleasurable or
 41 positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences [9]. Job
 42 satisfaction has also been referred to as an attitudinal variable that reflects how people feel
 43 about their jobs overall as well as various aspects of them. In simple terms, job satisfaction
 44 is the extent to which people like their jobs [10]. The elements of job satisfaction that arise
 45 from the nature of the work itself have been described in the literature as "motivators" [11] or
 46 as "intrinsic factors" [12] [13] that tend to influence job satisfaction. They include the specific
 47 nature of the work, recognition, achievement, and the possibility of growth, advancement,
 48 and responsibility [14]. Certain "extrinsic" factors or "hygienes" that tend to influence job
 49 dissatisfaction have been described [11] [12] [13]. They include salary, benefits, and
 50 institutional environment. Both the extrinsic (hygiene) and the intrinsic (motivation) factors
 51 are predictors of job satisfaction [15].

52

53 Literatures have shown that many job satisfaction components are associated with job
 54 satisfaction. Work related demographic factors are related to job satisfaction [6].
 55 Researchers have also found that years of service with the same organization contributed to
 56 job satisfaction [16]. It has been found that the length of workers' employment with a
 57 company was an indicator of job satisfaction [17]. It has also been observed that workers
 58 satisfied with their supervision had higher overall levels of job satisfaction [18]. Thus, when
 59 workers perceived that their supervisor was competent, cared about them, and respected
 60 them, their level of satisfaction was higher; in addition, workers who saw their supervisors as
 61 incompetent, insensitive and self-centred had lower job satisfaction [19]. High supervisor
 62 support is positively correlated to job satisfaction [20] [21] [22] [23]. In addition, studies have
 63 found that growth opportunity had a significant positive association with job satisfaction [22],
 64 and promotion opportunities did correlate to job satisfaction [21]. Pay, benefits, growth
 65 opportunities, job relevance and job security affect job satisfaction [24]. All of the job
 66 motivator and hygiene factors were moderately or substantially related to overall job
 67 satisfaction. The factors "recognition, supervision and relationships explained variability in
 68 overall level of job satisfaction [25].

69

Various studies have also indicated that demographic variables are possible factors that lead to job satisfaction and dissatisfaction [26]. Different demographic backgrounds usually resulted in different types of employees and how to manage them [27] [28]. Gender is an important factor in job satisfaction. It was observed that there are no simple conclusions about the differences between males and females and their job satisfaction [26]. Research results regarding job satisfaction and gender differences have been inconsistent [1]. Studies reviewed indicated that males are more satisfied with their jobs, while others indicated that females are more satisfied [29]. It has also been observed that there are little or no gender differences regarding job satisfaction [30]. Previous studies showed that female were less satisfied than male [25].

Demographic backgrounds such as educational level [31] [32], marital status [33] [34], age [35] [36] and organizational tenure [37] [38] have also been found to be related to job satisfaction. Most studies have shown that job satisfaction increased with age, or that job satisfaction vacillated or fluctuated with age [1]. Studies found a U-shaped job satisfaction and age [34]. With regards to education, it has been shown that workers with more education have a higher job satisfaction level, while other studies indicated that workers with more education have a lower job satisfaction level [29]. It has also been indicated that individuals with higher level of education have a lower level of job satisfaction [34]. Research suggested that a clear conclusion cannot be drawn concerning job satisfaction and its relationship to marital status [29]. According to studies, married men have higher life satisfaction than the never married ones [33]. Since life satisfaction is often positively attached to job satisfaction, thus it seemed fit to state that married men have higher job satisfaction than non-married ones [39].

Given the relationship between job satisfaction and performance [14], it is extremely important that managers of conservation organisations, particularly in developing countries understand the various elements of the theoretical frameworks related to job satisfaction since such an understanding provides a solid basis for decisions related to employees. If an employer needs a highly motivated, innovative, productive human resource, the importance of job satisfaction should not be forgotten [7].

Although there are numerous publications on job satisfaction, there have, however, been relatively very little empirical data on the job satisfaction of Nigeria's highest conservation agency (i.e. Nigeria National Park Service). This study was aimed at determining the current aggregate levels of job satisfaction, the intrinsic, extrinsic and demographic factors that are related to the job satisfaction of Nigeria National Park Service employees. We hypothesized that: 1) there is no significant difference in overall job satisfaction of the Nigeria's conservation agency employees based on locations of the National Parks, 2) job satisfaction of the conservation agency's employees is not related to the selected demographic factors; and 3) job satisfaction of the conservation agency's employees is not related to the intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction factors. This article is useful in providing the basis for decision-making in terms of resource allocation, support, programming, and improvements in institutional climate by conservation agencies and organisations. Knowing what factors promote job satisfaction as well as dissatisfaction, enables the incorporation of positive factors into the work environment and, if possible, eliminate many of the factors that cause dissatisfaction [2].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the seven National Parks being administered by Nigeria National Park service. The parks are Chad Basin, Cross River, Gashsaka Gumti, Kainji Lake, Kamuku, Okomu and Old Oyo National Parks. The study population consisted of individuals employed with any of Nigeria National Parks. List of employees was obtained from each of

the parks, at the time of this study, the total number of employees combined from all the parks was estimated to be 2000 individuals from which 231 (representing 11.6%) of the population) were randomly selected for the study. About 300 questionnaires were distributed from where 231 were returned, amounting to 77% response rate. Data collection was through self-administered questionnaires. Currently, the questionnaire is the most popular method used to assess workers' job satisfaction [40]. Job satisfaction is a subjective and personal matter, so the questionnaire may be the best method to assess the attitudes of workers [41].

Several methods have been used to evaluate job satisfaction. One of these methods is referred to as the global approach, which measures overall job satisfaction [39]. It has been suggested that global satisfaction is not equivalent to the sum of the facets measured [42], while some researchers have agreed that global job satisfaction is the sum of the satisfactions associated with each component of an employee's job [39]. Job satisfaction was assessed using the modified form of Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ) [43] and the modified form of 2002 Virginia Soil and Water Conservation District (VSWCD) Employee Evaluation [2]. The main reason why VSWCD Employee Job Satisfaction Issue was used in conjunction with the MSQ short form was because the former had been tested on conservation employees, which are the focus of this study, and the latter is a generally acceptable measure.

The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire is a well-regarded measure of job satisfaction [44]. The 20-item short form MSQ used a 5-point Likert Scale (from 1=very dissatisfied to 5=very satisfied, including two dimensions: intrinsic job satisfaction and extrinsic job satisfaction. Intrinsic job satisfaction includes 12 items that refer to activity, ability utilization, achievement, and so forth, while extrinsic job satisfaction includes 6 items that refer to supervision-human relation, company policies, compensation, and so forth [44]. Two survey items (working conditions and co-workers) are specific to the general satisfaction score; neither is characterized as intrinsic and extrinsic. In terms of reliability, for intrinsic job satisfaction Scale, the coefficients ranged from 0.84 to 0.91, and for the extrinsic job satisfaction Scale, the coefficients varied from 0.87 to 0.92 [43]. Previous studies reported the Cronbach's Alpha of 0.85 for intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction scales respectively, while Cronbach's Alpha of 0.90 was for general job satisfaction Scale. White also reported Cronbach's Alpha of 0.81 for 2002 VSWCD Employee Evaluation [2]. For this present study, the Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient was 0.95 for intrinsic job satisfaction Scale, and 0.83 for extrinsic job satisfaction scale, and 0.89 for general satisfaction scale of MSQ short form. However, for 2002 VSWCD Employee Evaluation, the Cronbach's Alpha was 0.77 (Table 1).

Furthermore, in this study, MSQ was rated as 1= very dissatisfied, 2= dissatisfied, 3= satisfied and 4=very satisfied. The 2002 VSWCD was rated as 1= very dissatisfied, 2= dissatisfied, 3= Satisfied, 4= very satisfied, 5= extremely satisfied, and 0= not applicable. Demographic variables were measured as gender (1= male, 0= female), age (actual age of the respondent as at the time of the study), marital status (1= married, 0= single), household size (actual number of individuals living in each household), education (1= secondary, 0= tertiary), monthly pay (actual amount being received as salary by each employee at the end of each month in Naira), years of service (actual year in the employment of Nigeria National Park), job responsibility (1= Park Protection and Conservation, 2= Ecotourism, 3= Park Engineering and Management, 4= Administration, 5= Medical, 6= Management Information Unit), and park location (1= north, 0= south). Data were presented and analyzed using descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviations. Mean scores were utilized to assess the respondents' intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction levels, and were interpreted in the range of 1.00 to 2.49 as dissatisfied and from 2.50 to 4.00 as satisfied and 0.00 as not

applicable. T-test, multivariate regression, and Pearson's correlation analyses were used to test the hypotheses.

177

178 Table 1: Reliability of MSQ and 2002 VSWCD Employee Evaluation Measures

Job Satisfaction Factors	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient	Author
MSQ		
Intrinsic	0.84-0.91	Weiss et al., 1967
Extrinsic	0.77-0.82	"
General	0.87-0.92	"
MSQ		
Intrinsic	0.85	White, 2008
Extrinsic	0.85	"
General	0.90	"
2002 VSWCD	0.81	"
MSQ		
Intrinsic	0.95	Present study
Extrinsic	0.83	"
General	0.89	"
2002 VSWCD	0.77	"

179

180

181 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

182

183 3.1 RESULTS

184 Demographic factors of respondents were presented in Table 2. A total of 195 (84.4%)
 185 males and 36 (15.6%) females responded to the survey. The mean age of the respondents
 186 was 35.7 years, with a median of 35.0 years and a mode of 30 years. Ages ranged from a
 187 minimum of 22 years to a maximum of 58 years. About 78% reported being married while
 188 21.2% were single. The mean household size of the respondents was 4.9 individuals, with a
 189 median of 5.0 individuals, and a mode of one (1) individual. Household size also ranged from
 190 a minimum of one (1) individual to a maximum of 14 individuals. In addition, 54.5% had
 191 secondary education while 45.5% had had tertiary education. The mean monthly pay
 192 (salary) of the respondents was ₦24, 244 (US\$ 149.7), with a median of ₦17, 900
 193 (US\$110.5), and a mode of ₦15, 000 (US\$ 92.6). The monthly pay, however, ranged from a
 194 minimum of ₦92, 000 (US\$567.9). Also, the mean year of service was 8.9 years, with a
 195 median of 8.0 years, and a mode of 8.0 years. The years of service, however, ranged from
 196 less than a year to a maximum of 35 years. In terms of job responsibility, Park Protection
 197 and Conservation had the highest number of respondents with 71.4%. Four of the parks are
 198 located in the north of the country (Chad Basin, Gashaka Gumti, Kainji Lake and Kamuku)
 199 while three are in the south (Cross River, Okomu and Old Oyo). About 49.4 of the
 200 respondents (114 employees) were from the four parks in the north and 50.6% (117
 201 employees) were from the three parks in the south of the country.

202

203

204

205

206

207 Table 2: Demographic factors of respondents

Demographic Factors	Min.	Max.	Frequency	%	Mean	Median	Mode
Gender							
Male			195	84.4			Male
Female			36	15.6			
Age (in years)	22	58			35.7	35.0	30
Marital Status							
Married			182	78.8			Married
Single			49	21.2			
Household size	1	14			4.9	5.0	1
Education							
Secondary			126	54.5			Secondary
Tertiary			105	45.5			
Monthly Pay (N)	5,000	92,000			24,244	17, 900	15, 000
Year of Service	<1	35			8.9	8.0	8.0
Job Responsibility							
Park Protection & Conservation			165	71.4			Park Protection & Conservation
Ecotourism			21	9.1			
Park Engineering & Management			13	5.6			
Administration			27	11.7			
Medical			1	0.4			
Management			4	1.7			
Information Unit							
Park Location							
North	0	4	114	49.4			
South	0	3	117	50.6			

208

209 From the 12 items of intrinsic job satisfaction, respondents were not satisfied with any of the
 210 items, with mean scores ranging from 1.84 to 2.33. In addition, from the six items that
 211 assessed extrinsic job satisfaction, the respondents were satisfied with only one item, with
 212 mean scores ranging from 1.55 to 2.72. They were satisfied with supervision-technical. For
 213 general satisfaction scores, they were also satisfied with co-workers with a mean score of
 214 3.16 while they were dissatisfied with working conditions with a mean score of 1.67 (Table
 215 3). For VSWCD Employee Evaluation Issues, from 12 items, respondents were satisfied with
 216 four items, with mean scores ranging from 1.96 to 3.23 and two items not applicable to them.
 217 They were satisfied with work relationships (mean= 3.23), clearly defined job responsibilities
 218 and work goals (mean= 2.80), health insurance benefit (mean= 2.52), and new employee
 219 orientation (mean= 2.96) (Table 4). For overall job satisfaction, 51.1%, 21.6%, 20.3%, 6.9%
 220 and 0% of the respondents were very dissatisfied, dissatisfied, satisfied, very satisfied and
 221 extremely satisfied respectively (Figure 1).

222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228

229 Table 3: Intrinsic, Extrinsic and General Job Satisfaction Scores of the Employees for MSQ
230 Short Form (N= 231)

Job Aspect (Intrinsic)	Mean	Standard deviation
Variety	2.06	0.77
Social Services	2.13	0.64
Moral Values	2.33	0.63
Independence	1.93	0.81
Activity	2.20	0.90
Ability Utilization	2.10	0.91
Security	1.96	0.85
Achievement	1.84	0.94
Creativity	1.95	0.84
Responsibility	2.29	0.84
Social Status	2.23	0.79
Authority	1.97	0.84
Job Aspect (Extrinsic)		
Supervision-Technical	2.72	0.69
Supervision-Human Relations	2.13	0.94
Recognition	1.82	0.92
Company policies and practices	1.89	0.86
Compensation	1.55	0.77
Advancement	1.65	0.85
Job Aspect (General)		
Working Conditions	1.67	0.85
Co-Workers	3.16	0.65

231 Note: Means calculated using a scale in which 1= Very Dissatisfied to 4= Very Satisfied
232

233 Table 4: Job Satisfaction Scores of the Nigeria National Parks Employees for 2002 VSWCD
234 Employee Evaluation Issues (N= 231)

Job Satisfaction Issue	Mean	Standard Deviation
Flexibility with Professional scheduling	2.47	0.78
Type of Work Performed	2.82	0.78
Relationship with District Board	0	0.00
Work Relationships	3.23	0.65
Professional Development	1.96	0.95
Management and Supervision	2.17	1.04
Clearly defined job responsibilities and work goals	2.80	0.64
Health and insurance benefit	2.52	1.11
New employee orientation	2.96	0.62
Salary or wage	1.52	0.83
Life insurance benefit	0	0.00
Career advancement opportunities	2.16	0.98

235 Note; Means calculated using a scale in which 0= Not Applicable, 1= Very Dissatisfied to 5=
236 Extremely Satisfied
237
238




Figure 1: Overall Satisfaction Level of Nigeria National Parks Employees

Table 5 presents the overall job satisfaction level of Nigeria National Parks employees based on the selected demographic factors. With regard to gender, 74.4% and 63.9% of male and female participants were dissatisfied respectively. For age, 85.7% of those in age groups of 22-32 years were dissatisfied, 65% for 33-42 years, 63.66% for 43-52 years and 50% for those whose age group is greater than 52 years. In terms of marital status, 70.3% and 81.6% of the married and single participants were dissatisfied respectively. About 73% and 71% of respondents with family size ranging from 1-5 and 6-10 individuals were dissatisfied while 71.4% of those whose household size were above 10 individuals were satisfied. In addition, 88.1% of respondents with secondary education and 54.3% of those with tertiary education were dissatisfied respectively. For monthly pay, 87.5% of those whose pay was between N1,000-N20,000 (US\$6.2-US\$123.5) were dissatisfied while it was 52.5% for N21,000-N40,000 (US\$129.6-US\$246.9), 57.7% for N41,000-N60,000 (US\$253.1-US\$370.4). However, all the respondents with monthly pay above N60,000 (US\$370.4) were satisfied with their job. With regard to year of service, 82.9% of the employees whose years of service were between 0-5 years dissatisfied, 78.4% for 6-10 years, 55.9% for 11-15 years, 52.6% for 16-20 years, 63.6% for 21-25 years and 100% for 26-30 years. However, all those whose year of service falls between 31-35 years were satisfied with their job. For job responsibilities, 80.6% of Park Protection and Conservation Staff were dissatisfied; this was 66.7% for Ecotourism Staff, 69.2% for Park Engineering and Management Staff, 100% for Medical staff and 50% for Staff in Management Information Unit. However, 66.7% of administrative staff were satisfied with their job. Furthermore, 73.7% and 71.8% of the employees whose Parks are located in the Northern and Southern parts of the country were dissatisfied respectively.

271 Table 5: Demographic Description of Nigeria National Parks employees Overall Job
272 Satisfaction Levels (N= 231)

Demographic factors	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Total
Gender			
Male	50 (25.6)	145 (74.4)	195
Female	13 (36.1)	23 (63.9)	36
Age group (in years)			
22-32	13 (14.3)	78 (85.7)	91
33-42	36 (35.0)	67 (65.0)	103
43-52	12 (36.4)	21 (63.6)	33
Above 52	2 (50)	2 (50)	4
Marital status			
Married	54 (29.7)	128 (70.3)	182
Single	9 (18.4)	40 (81.6)	49
Household size			
1-5	37 (26.8)	101 (73.2)	138
6-10	24 (28.6)	60 (71.4)	84
Above 10	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	7
Education			
Secondary	15 (11.9)	111 (88.1)	126
Tertiary	48 (45.7)	57 (54.3)	105
Monthly pay (in Naira, ₦)			
1,000-20,000	17 (12.5)	119 (87.5)	136
21,000-40,000	28 (47.5)	31 (52.5)	59
41,000-60,000	15 (57.7)	11 (42.3)	26
Above 60,000	5 (100)	0 (0.0)	5
Year of service			
0-5	13 (17.1)	63 (82.9)	76
6-10	19 (21.6)	69 (78.4)	88
11-15	15 (44.1)	19 (55.9)	34
16-20	9 (47.4)	10 (52.6)	19
21-25	4 (36.4)	7 (63.6)	11
26-30	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2
31-35	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	3
Job responsibilities			
Park Protection & Conservation	32 (19.4)	133 (80.6)	165
Ecotourism	7 (33.3)	14 (66.7)	21
Park Engineering & Management	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)	13
Administration	18 (66.7)	9 (33.3)	27
Medical	0 (0.0)	1 (100)	1
Management Information Unit	2 (50)	2 (50)	4
Park location			
Northern	30 (26.3)	84 (73.7)	114
Southern	33 (28.2)	84 (71.8)	117

273 Percentages (%) are in parentheses
274

275 Results of hypotheses are presented in Table 6, 7 and 8. For hypothesis one, the result
276 indicated that there was no significant difference in overall job satisfaction of the Nigeria's
277 conservation agency based on the locations ($t = -0.50$, $p = 0.62$) of the National Parks (Table
278 6). Thus, the null hypothesis is accepted. In addition, the selected demographic factors had
279 significant relationship with the overall job satisfaction (Table 7). The null hypothesis is

rejected. Education ($\beta = 0.28$, $t = 3.85$) and monthly pay (salary) ($\beta = 0.32$, $t = 3.55$) were the predictors of overall job satisfaction explaining 27% of the relationship between the selected demographic factors and the overall job satisfaction level of the employees. The result further indicated that there were significant and positive correlations between the overall job satisfaction of the employees and the intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction factors (Table 8). Thus, the null hypothesis is rejected.

Table 6: Independent Samples Test of difference in Conservation agency employees' overall job satisfaction level based on park locations

	Levene's Test for Equality of variances								
	F	Sig.	T	Df	Sig (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Standard Error	95% Confidence interval of the difference	
								Upper	Lower
Overall job satisfaction									
Equal variances assumed	0.47	0.50	-0.50	230	0.62	-0.07	0.13	-0.32	0.19
Equal variances not assumed			-0.50	229.6	0.62	-0.07	0.13	-0.32	0.19

Table 7: Relationship between Demographic Factors and Conservation Agency's Employees Overall Job Satisfaction

Demographic Factors	B	t values
Gender	0.03	0.48
Age	0.13	1.40
Family size	-0.00	-0.02
Education	0.28	3.85**
Monthly pay	0.32	3.55**
Years of service	-0.08	-0.87
R	0.52	
R ²	0.27	
Adj. R ²	0.25	
R ² Change	0.27	
df	230	
Sig.	0.00	

** $P = .001$

297 Table 8: Correlations between the Overall Job Satisfaction of the Conservation Agency's
298 Employees and the Intrinsic and Extrinsic Job Satisfaction Factors

Variable	Correlation value (r)
Intrinsic job satisfaction factors	
Variety	0.53**
Social service	0.59**
Moral value	0.47**
Independence	0.49**
Activity	0.47**
Ability utilization	0.50**
Security	0.60**
Achievement	0.69**
Creativity	0.60**
Responsibility	0.49**
Social status	0.51**
Authority	0.69**
Extrinsic job satisfaction factors	
Supervision-technical	0.24**
Supervision-human relation	0.57**
Recognition	0.68**
Policies	0.57**
Compensation	0.66**
Advancement	0.65**

299 **P = .001

300

301

302 3.2 DISCUSSION

303

304 Result on gender in this study was consistent with the previous findings that reported more
305 male respondents than females [45]. This might also be because the core of conservation
306 work of Nigeria National Park Service is protection, which gives paramilitary status with male
307 dominating the service. However, this percentage representation of women gender was
308 lower than what was also reported by earlier studies [46]. Studies have also reported higher
309 number of females than males [2]. The mean age was also lower than what was obtained by
310 earlier studies [2] [46]. The result of marital status was also consistent with previous
311 observations with a higher percentage of married respondents [45] [2]. Fairly large
312 household size observed was also in tandem with previous findings [45]. That the majority of
313 the Nigeria National Parks employees had secondary education was consistent with earlier
314 research [45] but contrary to the observation that reported 50% of VSWCD employees
315 having Bachelor's degree [2]. In terms of pay (salary), the mean monthly pay observed here
316 was higher than what was reported earlier [45] because the conservation agency studied
317 was being administered by State Government as a game reserve with different salary scale
318 from that of Federal Government agencies. Also, the reported mean annual salary of
319 \$36,373.54, which was \$3031.13 (N91,043.06) per month was reported by studies
320 conducted in the United States [2]. The mean year of service of the respondents was lower
321 than 18.2 years that was earlier reported [46] but was higher than 6.52 years reported
322 among VSWCD employees [2].

323

324 Contrary to the findings among VSWCD employees [2], the respondents were not satisfied
325 with all the intrinsic job satisfaction factors; they were satisfied with only one item of extrinsic
326 job satisfaction factors whereas White reported satisfaction with three extrinsic factors [2].
327 For general satisfaction and VSWCD employee evaluation issues, the results were contrary
328 to findings with VSWCD employees [2]. Align with past studies, significant relationship with

demographic factors was observed [47]. This also conformed with the observation of previous studies that demographic factors were negligibly related to overall job satisfaction [25]. With regard to the observations on education and salary (pay) as predictors of job satisfaction, studies observed that overall job satisfaction was not significantly affected by education level while salary was a good predictor of job satisfaction [49].

3.2.1 Management Implications of Findings

Management implications of level of job satisfaction of Nigeria National Parks employees are far reaching. For conservation organisations, job satisfaction is very pivotal to the attainment of conservation goals and objectives, and as such, human resource management should be considered as important as the management of the resources. This is because satisfied employees have the propensity of exerting high efforts in meeting organizational goals and objectives than dissatisfied ones. Coupled with the challenges of funding, poverty and illiteracy among host communities, lack of understanding of conservation concept among larger public, meeting conservation goals poses significant concern in protected areas management in Nigeria. Thus, employees' job satisfaction could also be related to numerous impediments of protected areas management.

It has been stated that being satisfied with one's employment is advantageous for both the employee and employer [2]. Past results indicated positive relationship between job satisfaction and performance [50]. Also studies have shown that job satisfaction is indeed positively related to job performance [51]. Job satisfaction has also been reported as having influence on desirable work behavior. Job satisfaction is correlated with other kinds of desirable behavior at work-there is less sabotage, stealing, doing work badly on purpose, and spreading rumors or gossip to cause trouble [52]. For instance, past studies highlighted anti-conservation behavior and activities among protection staff in Yankari Game Reserve; which include allowing poachers to enter the reserve when they could not be arrested, relaxing without conducting anti-poaching patrol when on patrol duties, collecting bribes from arrested poachers and grazers and then released them, killing fishing and some small mammals as food, giving tips to poachers on the location to operate in the reserve [45]. The effect of satisfaction on desirable work behavior was stronger for those over thirty-five years of age, probably because they would engage in such behavior if they have a very strong sense of grievance [53].

One of the reasons for highlighted undesirable work behavior could be explained from the perspective of economic conditions represented by monthly pay (salary) [45]. Before the present increase in minimum wage in April 2011 to ₦18,000 (US\$111), the gross monthly pay for an employee on salary grade 3 step 1 in any of the Nigeria National Parks was ₦11,467.25 (US\$70.8), whereas, the counterpart on the same grade level and step in Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), an organisation under the supervision of Federal Ministry of Environment as Nigeria National Parks was collecting ₦19,460.48 (US\$120.1). This was an indication that they received lower wages than their counterparts in the same Ministry. This was despite that it was generally believed that working as an employee of Nigeria National Parks (particularly the protection staff, i.e. rangers) is highly risky, with high tendency of being shot or killed by poachers. Adding to this was the unending and unfulfilled promises of improved scheme of service since 2007, which could have resulted in an improved working conditions including pay. The practice of deducting ₦300 (US\$1.85) monthly from their meager pay of protection staff for patrol vehicles' fuelling might have also contributed to their low level of satisfaction. All these might have led the protection staff into some undesirable behaviours which have resulted into reduction in the population of wild animals and plants in Nigeria's protected areas. Employees that are satisfied engaged more

in a wide variety of 'good citizenship' behavior at work – they were more punctual, dependable, helpful, cooperative and tidy, and they created less waste, made fewer complaints and were angry less frequently [54].

Another impact of low level of job satisfaction is the employees' intention to quit. Observations (through interactions) showed their unhidden intention to quit for other jobs; even among those employees that have put in considerable number of years into the service. It has also been opined that the intention to quit is predicted by low satisfaction [53]. It is when employees cannot get any satisfaction that they start singing and leave [55].

4. CONCLUSION

The study has contributed to the existing knowledge of job satisfaction, particularly as related to conservation organisations' employees. The study showed that job satisfaction level of Nigeria National Parks employees was low. They were not satisfied with any items on intrinsic job satisfaction factors while they were only satisfied with one item on extrinsic satisfaction. Furthermore, they were satisfied with five items on VSWCD Employee Evaluation issues. Demographic factors had significant relationship with the employees' job satisfaction level with education and monthly salary (pay) as predictors of their satisfaction. The potential implications of low level of satisfaction by the agency's employees include poor work performance, undesirable work behavior and their intention to quit, with all these having negative consequences on conservation and management activities of the parks. It is highly imperative for Federal Government through the Nigeria National Parks Service to improve the working conditions, including pay and all other job satisfaction factors of these employees in order to enhance their job satisfaction level. Their working conditions should be as obtained in other organisations under Federal Ministry of Environment, which is the supervising ministry, as well as what obtains in other paramilitary organisations in the country with a comparable level of risks.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.”

CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

All authors declare that no written consent was needed in publishing this article

ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

All authors hereby declare that no ethical approval was needed in conducting the study

REFERENCES

1. Jones CZ. Factors affecting African American Counsellors' job satisfaction: A national study. (Doctoral Dissertation), 2005. Accessed on 10 May 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/etd-03032005.../czj.pdf>

- 433 2. White AP. An examination of Virginia soil and Water Conservation District Employee job
434 satisfaction, (Doctoral Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University). 2008.
435 Accessed on 4 May 2013. Retrieved from [http://www.scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/.../etd-](http://www.scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/.../etd-04102008-083411)
436 04102008-083411
- 437 3. Stanley TL. The joy of working: A new look at job satisfaction. *Supervision*, 2001, 62(9): 3-
438 6.
- 439 4. Bashir M, Jianqiao L, June Z, Ghazanfar F, Khan MM. The role of demographic factors in
440 the relationship between high performance Work System and job satisfaction: A
441 multidimensional approach. *International Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 2011;
442 2(18): 207-218.
- 443 5. Dawis RV. Job satisfaction. In JC Thomas (Ed.), M Hersen (Ed. at Large), *Comprehensive*
444 *handbook of psychological assessment: Vol. 4. Industrial and organizational assessment*.
445 New York: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 470-481.
- 446 6. Celia BR. Impact of work related demographic factors on job satisfaction of teaching Staff
447 in self-financing engineering colleges. *IJEMR*, 2012, 2(3):1-11.
- 448 7. Aydogdu S, Asikgil B. An empirical study of the relationship among job satisfaction,
449 organizational commitment and turnover intention. *International Review of Management and*
450 *Marketing*. 2011; 1(3):43-53.
- 451 8. Muchinsky PM. *Psychology applied to work: An introduction to industrial and*
452 *organizational psychology* (6th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 2000.
- 453 9. Locke EA. The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook*
454 *of industrial and organizational psychology*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1976, pp.1297-1349
- 455 10. Spector PE. *Industrial and organizational psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons,
456 1996.
- 457 11. Herzberg F, Maunser B, Snyderman B. *The motivation to work*. New York: John Wiley
458 and Sons, Inc. 1959.
- 459 12. Iacqua JA, Schumacher P. Factors contributing to job satisfaction in higher education.
460 *Education*, 2001, 116(1), 51-59.
- 461 13. Tietjen MA, Myers RM. Motivation and job satisfaction. *Management Decision*, 1998,
462 36(4). 226-231. doi.10.1108/00251749810211027
- 463 14. Lootens PC. *Intrinsic, Extrinsic, Demographic, and Institutional Factors Related to Job*
464 *Satisfaction of Full- and Part-time Public Community College Faculty*. (Doctoral Dissertation,
465 Ohio University), 2009. Accessed 28 April 2013. Retrieved from
466 <http://www.etsd.ohiolink.edu/send-pdf.cgi/Lootens%20Philip%20C.pdf?>
- 467 15. Ololube NP. Professionalism, demographics and motivation factors: Predictors of job
468 satisfaction among Nigerian teachers. *International Journal of Education Policy and*
469 *Leadership*, 2007, 2(7).
- 470 16. Bass BM, Barrett GV. *Man, work and organizations: An introduction to industrial and*
471 *organizational psychology*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon. 1972.
- 472 17. Kreis K. The relationship between job satisfaction and needs fulfillment among urban
473 high school teacher. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational
474 Research association. (Report No. UD022813). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No.
475 ED230656). 1983. Accessed on 15 April 2013. Retrieved from
476 <http://www.trove.nla.gov.au/work/153645683>
- 477 18. Schroffel A. How does clinical supervision affect job satisfaction? *The Clinical*
478 *Supervisor*, 1999, 18(2), 91-105. doi.10.1300/J001v18n02_07
- 479 19. Trempe J, Rigny AJ, Haccoun RR. Subordinate satisfaction with male and female
480 managers: Role of perceived supervisory influence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1985,
481 70:44-47. doi.10.1037/0021-9010.70.1.44
- 482 20. Griffin MA, Patterson MG, West MA. Job satisfaction and teamwork: the role of
483 supervisor support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 2001, 537-550. doi.10.1002/job.101
- 484 21. O'Leary SP. *Organizational Commitment and Technical Workers in a Department of*
485 *Defense Activity Center: A Correlational Study*. (Doctoral Dissertation, University of

- 486 Pheonix), 2004. Accessed on 12 June 2013. Retrieved from
487 <http://www.dau.mil/pubscats/PubsCats/Jenkins.pdf>
- 488 22. Jenkins AK. Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment of Civilian Engineers and
489 Scientists in United States Naval Acquisition. (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Phoenix),
490 2008. Accessed on 25 May 2013. Retrieved from
491 <http://www.gradworks.umi.com/3334980.pdf>
- 492 23. Scontrino P, Powell J. Supervisor support: a key ingredient in effective leadership. 2011.
493 Accessed on 22 May 2013. Retrieved from [http://www.scontrino-powell.com/2011/](http://www.scontrino-powell.com/2011/supervisor-support-a-key-ingredient-in-effectiveleadership/)
494 [supervisor-support-a-key-ingredient-in-effectiveleadership/](http://www.scontrino-powell.com/2011/supervisor-support-a-key-ingredient-in-effectiveleadership/)
- 495 24. Saxon NL. Understanding organizational commitment and satisfaction of TACOM Life
496 Cycle Management Command Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics Associates. (Master
497 Dissertation, Lawrence Technological University), 2012. Accessed on 5 June 2013 Retrieved
498 from <http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA558465>
- 499 25. Castillo JX, Cano J. Factors explaining job satisfaction among faculty. Journal of
500 Agricultural Education, 2004, 45 (3): 65-74. doi.10.5032/jae.2004.03065
- 501 26. Scott M, Swortzel KA, Taylor WN. The Relationships between Selected demographic
502 factors and the level of job satisfaction of extension agents. Journal of Southern Agricultural
503 Education Research, 2005, 55(1), 102-115.
- 504 27. Von Glinow MA, Teagarden MB. The transfer of human resource management
505 technology in Sino-US cooperative ventures: Problems and solutions. Human Resource
506 Management, 1988, 27(2), 201-229. doi.10.1002/hrm.3930270206
- 507 28. McCormick BJ. Human resource management in Europe: Evidence from ten countries.
508 International Journal of Human Resource Management, 1992, 3(3), 409-434.
509 doi.10.1080/09585199200000157
- 510 29. Herzberg F, Mausner B, Peterson RO, Capwell DF. Job attitudes: Review of research
511 and opinion. Pittsburgh, PA: Psychological Service of Pittsburgh, 1957.
- 512
- 513 30. Witt LA, Nye LG. Gender and the relationship between perceived fairness of pay or
514 promotion and job satisfaction. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1992, 77: 910-917.
515 doi.10.1037/0021-9010.77.6.910
- 516 31. Okpara JO. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: Are there differences
517 between American and Nigerian managers employed in the US MNCs in Nigeria? Paper
518 presented at the Academy of Business and Administrative Sciences (ABAS) 2004. X
519 International Conference, Montreux, Switzerland, June 12-14, 2004. Accessed on 6 May
520 2013. Retrieved from [http:// www.sba.muohio.edu/.../Okpara_...](http://www.sba.muohio.edu/.../Okpara_...)
- 521 32. Bjork IT, Samdal GB, Hansen BS, Torstad S, Hamilton GA. Job satisfaction in a
522 Norwegian population of nurses: A questionnaire survey. International Journal of Nursing
523 Studies, 2007, 44, 747-757. doi.10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2006.01.002
- 524 33. Mroczek DK, Spiro A. Change in life satisfaction during adulthood: Findings from the
525 Veterans Affairs Normative Aging Study. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology,
526 2005, 88(1), 189-202. doi.10.1037/0022-3514.88.1.189
- 527 34. Gazioglu S, Tansel A. Job satisfaction in Britain: Individual and job related factors.
528 Applied Economics, 2006, 38:1163-1171. doi.10.1080/00036840500392987
- 529 35. Mathieu JE, Zajac DM. A Review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates and
530 consequences of organizational commitment. Psychological Bulletin, 1990, 108:171-194.
531 doi.10.1037/0033-2909.108.2.171
- 532 36. Okpara JO, Squillace M, Erondy EA. Gender differences and job satisfaction: A study of
533 university teachers in the United States. Women in Management Review, 2005, 20(3):177-
534 190. doi.10.1108/09649420510591852
- 535 37. James LR, Jones AP. Perceived job characteristics and job satisfaction: An investigation
536 of reciprocal causation. Personnel Psychology, 1980, 33:97-135. doi.10.1111/j.1744-
537 6570.1980.tb02167.x

38. Sarker SJ, Crossman A, Chinmeteepituck P. The relationship of age and length of service with job satisfaction: An examination of hotel employees in Thailand. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 2003, 18(7/8), 745-758. doi.10.1108/02683940310502421
39. Azalea A, Omar F, Mastor KA. The role of individual differences in job satisfaction among Indonesians and Malaysians. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 2009; 10(4):496-511.
40. Spector PE. *Industrial & Organizational Psychology: Research and practice*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2000.
41. Jewell LN, Siegall M. *Contemporary industrial organizational psychology* (2nd ed.). New York: West, 1990.
42. Smith PC, Kendall LM, Hulin CL. *The measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement*. Chicago, Illinois: Rand McNally, 1969.
43. Weiss DJ, Dawis RV, England GW, Lofquist LH. *Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation. Manual for Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire*. Industrial Relations Center, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota. 1967. Accessed on 24 April 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.psych.umn.edu>
44. Ge C, Fu J, Chang Y, Wang L. Factors associated with job satisfaction among Chinese community health workers: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 2011;11:884. doi.10.1186/1471-2458-11-884
45. Ogunjinmi AA, Umunna MO, Ogunjinmi KO. Factors affecting job satisfaction of rangers in Yankari Game Reserve. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JASR)*, 2008, 8 (2).
46. Wynveen CJ, Bixler RD, Hammitt WE. Employee perceptions of protected area law enforcement In: Peden JG, Schuster RM, (comps., eds.) *Proceedings of the 2005 northeastern recreation research symposium*; 2005 April 10-12; Bolton Landing, NY. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-341. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. Accessed on 22 May 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.fs.fed.us/ne/.../wynveen341.pdf>
47. Mobley WH. *Employee turnover: Causes, consequences and control*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1982.
48. Vila LE, Garcia-Mora B. Education and determinants of job satisfaction. *Education Economics*, 2005, 13(4), 409-425. doi.10.1080/09645290500251730
49. Solly DC. *An analysis of job satisfaction of school psychologists practicing in West Virginia*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA. Dissertation Abstracts International 45: DA 84097141983. Accessed on 10 June 2013. Retrieved from <http://spi.sagepub.com/content/27/2/131.refs>.
50. Wanous JP. A casual-correlation analysis of the job satisfaction and performance relationship. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1974, 59(2):139-144. doi.10.1037/h0036532
51. De Ruyter K, Wetzels M, Feinberg R. Role stress in call centres: its effects on employee performance and satisfaction. *Journal of interactive marketing*, 2001, 15(2):23-35. doi.10.1002/dir.1008
52. Mangoine TW, Quinn RP. Job satisfaction, counterproductive behaviour and drug use at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1975, 60:114-16. doi.10.1037/h0076355
53. Argyle M. Do happy workers work harder? The effect of job satisfaction on performance. In: Ruut Veehoven (ed), *how harmful is happiness? Consequences of enjoying life or not*. (Universitaire Pers Rotterdam, The Netherlands), 1989; Accessed on 10 May 2013. Retrieved from <http://www2.eur.nl/research/Veehoven/.../89a-C9-full.pdf>
54. Bateman TS, Organ DW. Job satisfaction and the good soldier: the relationship between affect and employee "citizenship". *Academy of Management Journal*, 1983, 26:587-95. doi.10.2307/255908
55. Oudejans R. Linking extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to job satisfaction and to motivational theories. A comparison between the public sector (nurses) and private sector

590 (call centre agents). (Master Thesis, University of Maastricht), 2007. Accessed on 25 May
591 2013. Retrieved from [http://www. arno.unimaas.nl/show.cgi?fid=11432](http://www.arno.unimaas.nl/show.cgi?fid=11432)
592
593
594