SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_8612**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Capital Inflows and Economic Growth: A Case for Kenya**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The Literature review is grossly inadequate to do justice to the subject matter.The author(s) should develop a more empirically robust Conceptual and Theoretical framework that will reflect an indepth analysis of the concept of : (i) Capital Inflow (ii) Economic Growth.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The Methodology is ok and specific to the objectives of the study;while the result and discussion are well written and thorough.	
Optional/General comments	The research paper can be accepted for publication after necessary corrections highlighted above must have been made.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Hassan, Olanrewaju Makinde**
Department, University & Country	**Dept. Of Business Administration,Kogi State University,Kogi State, Nigeria**