



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8612
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign direct inflows and Economic growth nexus in Kenya: cointegration and causality analysis

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The paper has improved quite a lot from the original version as the paper now focuses on FDI. However, there are still areas that have not been addressed or have been addressed but not adequately. General comments (i) The study should seriously look at sequencing of sections; empirical literature does not come before theoretical literature and other sections (ii) Stylised section missing (iii) Empirical literature on Kenya missing (iv) All citations should be in the reference section. Some references are not in the paper. (v) Citations are missing in most important parts (vi) Stylised section missing (vii) Should pay serious attention to editorials (grammar and spellings) Introduction: (a) The objective in line 137-138 is wrongly placed (b) The objective is coming from nowhere – What is the problem statement and what are the gaps that this study is addressing and hence contribution. (c) Empirical literature on Kenya is missing.. A lot of research has been done on the topic in Kenya that the paper has not made reference to. The author should review this literature and state how different it is from these studies . (d) Line 66-73 : (i) Facts in this paragraph are not substantiated. (ii) What are the stylized facts regarding capital flows in Kenya? What are the trends and dynamics of capital flows vs growth its components vs growth in Kenya – this can be a separate section before literature review. Literature (i) Empirical literature comes after theoretical (ii) Empirical literature on Kenya on determinants and relationship of FDI and growth missing	The comments addressed include 1. Providing facts on FDI and growth in Kenya 2. Ordering theoretical review before empirical review 3. including all the references in the list of reference



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Data

- (i)Line 183 and 138 are saying different things
- ()Line 139 the equation require citation

Methodology

- (i) This section need to be properly written. Part of the methodology is mixed with results
- (ii) Where is the model that is being tested and how are the variables defined
- (iii)What is the justification of the methodologies and the variables

Results

The cointegrating matrix or equation (estimated results and all the related statistics) should be in the appendix if the equation 301 is to be believed, since this is part of the conclusion.

Conclusion

Lines 346-350 – Are they part of policy recommendations or part of the results from the study. If it is the latter then the paragraph should be rephrased e.g “these measures might include.....

Further, the paragraph sounds as if it is just picked from somewhere- the author should be guided by the literature on FDI determinants in Kenya.