SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_13864**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATING PERFORMANCE OF CHEST DISEASES DEPARTMENTS USING HOSPITAL EFFICIENCY INDICATORS IN TURKEY**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is important and has much strength. Section 1: It is unclear what the novelty of this paper. Section 2: The general quality of the written English language is poor. Numerous sentences are incomplete and the meaning of phases is often not understood. Past and present tense are used disorderly. Revision by a native speaker is highly recommended. Section 3: discussion needed literature review for support study, please rewritten. Section 8: Conclusion in this study not clear, please check Section 9: Recommendations should be included in discussion. Section 10 : Line 149 ref. Nawata et al., 2009, please check Section 11: Line 155 ref. Klein et al., 2001 ,please check years Section 12: Line 158 ref. Tekin 2011, ,please check Section 13: All ref. please check written.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Salwa Attia Mohamed**
Department, University & Country	**Medical Surgical Nursing Department, Fayoum Unverisity, Egypt**