



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14078
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Working Capital management on the Profitability of Smart Bags Limited Manufacturing Firm in Zimbabwe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The theories behind the working capital management is not required, try to incorporate more papers in the literature relevant to the problem. Section 3 has to be rewrite. • In section 4.1, research design is not incorporated (only the definition of research design is given, the authors should answer the how and what questions in this section based on the problem dealing in the paper) • At the end of section 4, a multiple linear regression model is proposed, but it is not explained in the paper. The authors are directed to explain the regression analysis and add the same in abstract.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• In line 273, EBTIDA is defined, but in Table 5.1, it is EBITDA. Use same notations.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• The paper is a case study, so it is good that has to give explain the relevance of WCM for the given firm.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology Calicut, Calicut, Kerala, India