



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14334
Title of the Manuscript:	FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT AND RESOURCES UTILISATION: IMPLICATIONS FOR NIGERIA'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author needs to rectify the following shortcomings: Expand the theoretical literature review, mention telecom and labor force as other impediments to FDI, and provide a more extensive and more analytic summation in both the Conclusion and Policy Implications section. As they are now, they are very weak—short and too general.	As seen on red fonts, we have added and expanded on the theoretical literature. Also added to the conclusion and recommendations.
Optional/General comments		