



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13731
Title of the Manuscript:	Motives and partner selection criteria of emerging economies in establishing international strategic alliances
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a case study paper, so it's convenient to put "case study" in the title of the paper and in keywords. The paper is correct in its structure and arguments, but is not following the structure of a case: Authors talk about hypothesis and it's more correct talking about propositions. All the paper must adopt this vision. With this new structure the paper could be suitable for publication.	1 、 The new title of paper is "Motives and partner selection criteria of emerging economies in establishing international strategic alliances-- Based on the case study of chinese Huawei company",and "case study" is added to the KEY WORDS. 2、 The paper uses the case to prove the hypothesis.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Having in mind the compulsory revision, I recommend authors to read and cite about case studies and explain better the methodology they use.	Thank you for your comments, I changed it, you can read the new paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		