



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14001
Title of the Manuscript:	DIRECT FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN KURDISTAN REGION OF MIDDLE-EAST: NON-OIL SECTOR ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article can be accepted with MINOR REVISION as highlighted.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a good article that promotes the idea of economic diversification through attraction of FDI into Non-Oil Sectors, which is paramount for oil producing countries due to volatility and uncertainty associated with global energy market. Line 174, is better to read statistical exploration instead of qualitative exploration, since the data is numeric. Table 11 can either be discussed to support findings without inserting the whole table, or it can be taken back to literature review. Recommendation can come after the conclusion, and need not to necessarily be numbered. It should be inform of discussion. Unless it is requirement of the journal, the in-text citation should not contain the access date of the information. The access date should be on the endnote references. The Author (s) should follow the APA style for in-text tables and figures. Unless if it is not the requirement of	Agreed. Table 11 is a precise capture of findings and not part of literature review. Authors hereby reject this recommendation. Agreed. Not necessary in the present context. It will not make any significant difference.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the journal. The SPSS output Tables should be copied and paste in the excel sheet to convert Alphanumeric into to pure numeric by selecting "Number" in the Excel tool bar. The grammar usage in the article needs to be improved. In my opinion, there is no critical ethical issues that can pose danger to the publisher or the author as the data were collected from secondary sources and no maltreatment of human subjects. Based on the use of available software for detecting plagiarism only 2% of the work matched other people work.	It will not make any significant difference. Agreed. (Most words joined with each other had now been separated). That is our pleasure. Unavoidable
--	--	--