



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15154
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Biocoal Briquettes of Nigerian's Ogboyaga and Okaba Sub-bituminous Coal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is no size 0.20mm mesh. please write the screen mesh size. 2-need definition of all items of this equation $Q = (\theta_3 - \theta_1) \gamma / z$ kcal/g 3- I think equation 2 not correct please make shore this equation correct. 4- I think equation 3 not correct please re-write this equation correct. 5- Equation 4 is not correct where ash and volatile mater. 6- The results is not scientific results.	1. It is 250mm mesh size, it was a typographical error. 2.Effected 3.Effected 4.Effected 5. Ash and moisture content were omitted which have been added but volatile matter should not be there. 6. I do not agree with this remark, It is scientific in all ramifications.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		