SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_14783**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Application of Database Technology in the Software of Seismic Data Acquisition**
Type of the Article	**Research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The content of the paper is in principle OK, but it would profit considerably from inclusion of some practical examples – accompanied by good illustrations. This would make it much more convincing.	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be a good idea to specify the frames within which the system is designed to operate – what scale of phenomena it deals with best for instance. It cannot be able to deal with all small scale features correctly (a few m or smaller) for instance, to distinguish surfaces between layers with very similar characteristics, etc.	
Optional/General comments	More references and more direct use of the existing relevant literature.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Denmark**