



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14783
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Database Technology in the Software of Seismic Data Acquisition
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The content of the paper is in principle OK, but it would profit considerably from inclusion of some practical examples – accompanied by good illustrations. This would make it much more convincing.	Example in Subtitle No. 5 The Application and the effect of the software
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It would be a good idea to specify the frames within which the system is designed to operate – what scale of phenomena it deals with best for instance. It cannot be able to deal with all small scale features correctly (a few m or smaller) for instance, to distinguish surfaces between layers with very similar characteristics, etc.	Line No. 66 – 67 also line 204 and 206
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More references and more direct use of the existing relevant literature.	Some references has been added