



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14783
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Database Technology in the Software of Seismic Data Acquisition
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	"This study improves the methods of processing the surface seismic..." (Line 9 and 10). Any proof/evidence for this sentence? Please use proper citation format (line 77) Fig. 2 a bit confusing. (Line 101). What is the flow direction for "User management module" and "Surface data management module" in Fig.2?	The evidence in line 92 , Fig. 1 & 2, Subtitle 203 & 5.1 Citation formate of reference has been done in the text The Flow direction has been done by arrows in Fig.2
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is focussed on the identification work However, this is no validation/verification work.	