



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13505
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING CHOICE OF MEDICAL TREATMENT OPTIONS AMONG RURAL PEOPLE IN SELECTED COMMUNITIES IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The topic of this paper is relevant and of interest to the audience of this journal. • The content of this paper is technically below average • The abstract is average concise and sufficient • The introduction provides information is not sufficient for background support. • The research methodology for the study is not appropriate and applied properly. • What were the criteria for the consideration of all the selection of 637 autonomous communities in Imo state and who were the respondents and give brief explanation about the respondents. • You are required to mention the analysis table includes dependent and independent variables and specify each variable and its significance. • The results of analysis are not correctly interpreted • Tables are not appropriate and adequate • The supporting evidence in this paper is not strongly reliable and properly validated • Conclusion is not clearly mentioned. It need comprehensive conclusion with strong recommendations. • References are not complete and appropriate. It is very general in nature, it is fit for fresher's in health care management. • 2.2 - Need not mention first three paragraph of	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	'Operationalization of concepts' in this manuscript 4. Discussion: The entire paragraph in the Discussion section should be come in Data analysis.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Sample size has not been justified and it has not been arrived in right method. It lacks with statistical tools and usage.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India