



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14885
Title of the Manuscript:	AN APPROACH OF EXERGY ANALYSIS OF HUMAN PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE IN THE OUTDOOR CONDITIONS DURING BLOCKLAYING IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The scientific theme discussed in this paper is a current academically relevant topic and it explores satisfactorily some of the most important current bibliography of the area. The structure of the article is appropriate and presents good quality while linking the results with the text. This point is particularly clear when the recent literature is considered. The authors have reviewed most of the experimental methodology already at hand in the specific literature, which is particularly interesting to insert the reader into the context to be discussed. Therefore, in my personal point of view, the authors demonstrated a consistent paper where the results obtained have been properly discussed and the conclusion is conveniently connected to the object that was proposed as a matter of investigation, achieving to apply the theory to a consistent empirical work of scientific research.	1. Comments of the reviewer are satisfactory. 2. I appreciate the reviewer for a very good job and comments.