



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14584
Title of the Manuscript:	GROUNDWATER POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT OF IWARO-OKA, SW NIGERIA USING GEOELECTRIC PARAMETERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Caption for Fig. 1 should be changed to "Fig. 1: Base Map of the Study Area Showing location of the Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) Stations." • In Fig. 2, the legend is illegible! • In Fig. 5a and 5b: The vertical scale refers to the depth, what about the elevation at each VES station? Is the area flat? Need to be clarified. • Mention the reference for the info provided in Table 3. • How the problem of Equivalence has been dealt with? Are there any parametric VES conducted in the area?	Agreed and it has been replaced It has been improved The VES stations that are used to generate the sections are relatively on flat terrain It has been included The area is a very familiar and some VES stations were very closed to existing hand-dug wells which were not showed on the base map because is not significant to the research but were used to solved the issues of Equivalence
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		