



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12315
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing the physical properties of briquettes from sawdust of <i>Piptadenia africana</i> through combination with <i>Ceiba pentandra</i>
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Page 3, line 80, why the author chose specifically the defined mixing proportion? This should be mentioned in the paper. Page 6, line 123, the mentioned points should be defined, are these taken randomly? Page 12, line 244. A reference to table 5 should be mentioned in the text. Page 15, line 312, the sentence may be reformulated to be understood by the reader.	Mixing ratio was adapted from literature Jindaporn & Songchai, 2007. Suggestion has been incorporated.(Page 4, lines 81 and 82) Sample was taken randomly using a table of random numbers. Suggestion has been addressed. (Page 12, line 244) Suggestion has been addressed. (Page 15, lines 310, 311 and 312)
Minor REVISION comments	The author may include a figure, which illustrate/ show the investigated briquettes. The author may describe shortly the sawdust from Piptadenia Africana and Ceiba pentandra. From which types of trees they come from? Economic value, etc, in order to justify the subject of investigation.	Figure is currently not available. Will consider suggestion in my next paper. Sawdust were generated from species Piptadenia africana and Ceiba pentandra. Relevant characteristics of the species has been already described.
Optional/General comments	Page 8, line 167 Page 10, line 210, Page 11, line 223, Page 13, line 270 The correlation results can be easier to demonstrate with the help of suitable figures.	Researcher prefers it to be in this current formatt