



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14465
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Fully Symmetric Quadrature Rules for Approximation of Complex Line Integral
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I think that the paper is easy to read and can be accepted for the publication. However, the authors should check the punctuation very accurately. For instance: Line 79 there is not a full stop Line 85 add a full stop line 85, equation, R should be R₂ Lines 116, 147 wrong indentation, no capital letter in wrong. Line 169 can not or cannot? Line 172 "wchich" Line 177 correct references In general, the punctuation marks should be revised all over the paper. The commas lack in most cases after the equations.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elena Benvenuti
Department, University & Country	Engineering Department, University of Ferrara, Italy