



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14465
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Fully Symmetric Quadrature Rules for Approximation of Complex Line Integral
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I think that the paper is easy to read and can be accepted for the publication. However, the authors should check the punctuation very accurately. For instance:</p> <p>Line 79 there is not a full stop Line 85 add a full stop line 85, equation, R should be R₂ Lines 116, 147 wrong indentation, no capital letter in wrong. Line 169 can not or cannot? Line 172 "wchich" Line 177 correct references</p> <p>In general, the punctuation marks should be revised all over the paper. The commas lack in most cases after the equations.</p>	<p>In the revised manuscript, sufficient care has been taken not to have punctuation error.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		