



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11663
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE PASTING AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF COWPEA (<i>VIGNA UNGUICULATA</i>) AND SOYBEAN (<i>GLYCINE MAX</i>) BLENDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript showed enough originality, but had not incorporated enough mastery of the carbohydrate chemistry of the two grains: Cowpea carbohydrate are mainly of the oligosaccharide of the raffinose stuff, although soya also contain some of this but not as much, as the component had been replaced by protein. This mainly is the reason behind the pasting properties. The authors should argue along this line. Otherwise a good manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor revision along the chemistry of the components of the two grains	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors should revist the result and argue along the chemical composition line of thoughts	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malomo Olu
Department, University & Country	College of Food Sciences Bells University of Technology, Ota P.M.B. 1015, OTA Ogun State, Nigeria