



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14096
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Starch Characteristics Study of Eight (8) Unripe Plantain Cultivars in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Line 19 & 20 of the abstract: there were 4 parameters with 5 range of figures. Ash, fibre, protein and carbohydrate were 0.55-20 2.53, 0.19-0.61, 2.05-4.07, 1.12-7.24 and 69.96-81.18%. Fat is omitted. 2. Line 25: what is the unit for glycemic index? Pls indicate this. See also the area on results & discussion. See also line 218. 3. Mature does not have d attached when in the past tense. 4. Line 149: et al should have full stop. Eg Pelissari et al. Reflect this in all cases of et al. 5. Review the reference section. Are journal titles abbreviated? 6. Check your spellings (see lines 123, 247, 248 and others). 7. Line 185: (% dry basis). Please be specific. Dry weight or dry matter?	
Optional/General comments	This is a well written and researched scientific paper. However, it requires minor editing.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Nigeria