SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_7671**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INDEX AND STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS OF RESIDUAL LATERITIC SOILS FROM SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**There is no major revision to this work. However, the author(s) needs to be specific about the size or number of localities where samples analyzed were taken from.** **If possible the author(s) could also be specific on the actual parent rocks in the area where the samples were taken.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The author (s) should try to look at minor corrections and improvements highlighted in the reviewed version of the manuscript. Although the author(s) have used appropriate procedures, the size of the samples analyzed seems to be small and general inference from the statistical analyses conducted might not be representative of the larger area as predicted by the author(s).	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**India**