



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14096
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Starch Characteristics Study of Eight (8) Unripe Plantain Cultivars in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. Line 19 & 20 of the abstract: there were 4 parameters with 5 range of figures. Ash, fibre, protein and carbohydrate were 0.55-20 2.53, 0.19-0.61, 2.05-4.07, 1.12-7.24 and 69.96-81.18%. Fat is omitted.</p> <p>2. Line 25: what is the unit for glycemic index? Pls indicate this. See also the area on results & discussion. See also line 218.</p> <p>3. Mature does not have d attached when in the past tense.</p> <p>4. Line 149: et al should have full stop. Eg Pelissari et al. Reflect this in all cases of et al.</p> <p>5. Review the reference section. Are journal titles abbreviated?</p> <p>6. Check your spellings (see lines 123, 247, 248 and others).</p> <p>7. Line 185: (% dry basis). Please be specific. Dry weight or dry matter?</p>	<p>1. Fat is now added.</p> <p>2. Unit now added in line 25, 218 and 225.</p> <p>3. "d" now removed and highlighted.</p> <p>4. corrected</p> <p>5. corrected abbreviations are now highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>6. corrected</p> <p>7. corrected</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This is a well written and researched scientific paper. However, it requires minor editing.</p>	