



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13557
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND ELEMENTAL ANALYSES OF BANANA COMPOSITE FLOUR FOR CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Idea of the work was not novel. • Some references should be write in the methodology especially in physicochemical analysis part. • The methods did not show that all experiments to achieve the proposed aim (ideal product for weaning babies and children). • Language should be corrected and improved in some places. • The manuscript is poorly prepared for publication.	Banana composite flour may not be novel in the some part of the world, but the introduction of banana into locally prepared cereal mix using such technology is new in Ghana. Corrections have being effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• The abbreviation of BMS should be explained under table 1 . • In page 6, line 17 should be 87.48% as in table 2. • In page 8, line 22 should be tables 3 and 4. • In page 9, line 1 replace 4.1 with 4.6 • In page 10, line 18 replace [25] with [26]. • The references needs to be checked for spelling and formatting errors i.e. No. 17, 18, 19,22 and 33	All corrections have been effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		