SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_11663**
Title of the Manuscript:	**DETERMINATION OF THE PASTING AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF COWPEA (*VIGNA UNGUICULATA*) AND SOYBEAN (*GLYCINE MAX*) BLENDS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript showed enough originality, but had not incorporated enough mastery of the carbohydrate chemistry of the two grains: Cowpea carbohydrate are mainly of the oligosaccharide of the raffinose stuff, although soya also contain some of this but no as much, as the component had been replaced by protein.** **This mainly is the reason behind the pasting properties.** **The authors should argue along this line. Otherwise a good manuscript**	Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision along the chemistry of the components of the two grains	
Optional/General comments	Authors should revist the result and argue along the chemical composition line of thoughts	Corrected