



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14095
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Nutritional and Starch Characteristics of Dioscorea alata (Water Yam) Varieties Commonly Cultivated in the South-Eastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 14 : the proximate and starch (missing a word, probably "nutritional") Line 37: the major causes of ill health, illness or diseases. 39: which ~~may have been~~, could be averted 90: ~~ash~~, its determined at 550°C 94: temperature and time of duration of Soxhlet extraction, or other details about this procedure In line 231: ~~against~~ chronic disease, in prevention of chronic diseases.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	/	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the conclusion should be given more strict information about which of the varieties have the best nutritional value and should be most commonly used, according to the results presented here. References are in large number and are a good choice!	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viktorija Maksimova
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Medical Sciences, University "Goce Delcev" Stip, Republic of Macedonia