



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14003
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Semienawi Asmara Health Center
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well written, and merits publication. However, some corrections are necessary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly clarify what means 'blood relative with UTI' (lines 27-28). I don't think there is any strong genetic theory with regard to urinary tract infections (??) 2. UTI as an acronym is not clarified in the abstract. 3. Line 31: I suggest using the term microorganism, not <i>organism</i>, for Escherichia. 4. Line 29: clarify <i>not urinating immediately</i>. What means immediately here? 5. Line 62: instead of <i>pregnancy is a provocation</i> I would suggest <i>pregnancy predisposes...</i> 6. Line 79: is a <i>pus cell</i> the right term? 7. Tables are generally embedded in the PDF file as pictures. This decreases the readability. Please provide tables in separate word documents when submitting the final revised manuscript. 8. Line 193: correct terms of microorganisms mentioned. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>9. Some further grammatical corrections are needed.</p> <p>10. Line 270: kindly substitute <i>mankind</i> with <i>humans</i>.</p> <p>11. Line 321: correct multiparty for <i>multiparity</i>. The same in the line 363.</p> <p>12. Lines 313 and 327 are redundant (duplicated, since you mention twice the incidence of UTI in the US).</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are not uniform. You should use a single form of references quoted: I suggest use the PubMed format and download references from this source.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is interesting and merits publication; however I think the points I raised above should be addressed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gentian Vyshka
Department, University & Country	Biomedical and Experimental Department, University of Medicine in Tirana, Albania