



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14356
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF CASSAVA WASTEWATER ON THE QUALITY OF RECEIVING WATER BODY INTENDED FOR FISH FARMING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In line 40, replace generates with generate In line 70, replace is with are In line 117, replace was with were In line 117 and 118, the first part of the sentence is ambiguous. Specify the parameters that were measured at 220 nm and the ones measured at 420 nm. Also, effect this correction in the abstract (lines 12, 13, 14) The unit of conductivity is $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ not $\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}$, correct it in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4. In the reference, Authors initials should end with full stop (.)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is recommended for publication, after the minor corrections	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Nigeria