



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13651
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Modeling of Head Loss through Duct Fittings in Conditioned Air Distribution Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In line 88 you provided the equation with x, and by a consequence as a reader/reviewer it wasn't clear to me the role of x (one, undefined). I propose to add before (in line 87), something like this "...of y and x, where $x = x_1$ or x_2 ".	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Is it possible to simulate such system to see which factors are most important?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mieczysław Szyszkowicz
Department, University & Country	Health Canada, Population Studies Division, 269 Laurier Avenue, Ottawa K1A 0K9, Canada