SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_13428**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSMENT OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES USED FOR DISSEMINATING INNOVATIONS BY EXTENSION AGENTS IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Results No proper linkage material blocked**	
Minor REVISION comments	In Discussion clearly mentioned every time which ICT. Donot group under ICT Creates confusion	
Optional/General comments	Good introduction, Methodology Results & Discussion needs to pay attention.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ambati Ravinder Raju**
Department, University & Country	**Division of Crop production Central Institute for Cotton Research, Nagpur(M.S) India**