



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12906
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF LAND USE CHANGES ON BIODIVERSITY IN THE MOUNT CAMEROON REGION
Type of article	Research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript is marginally improved. Significant copyediting and proofreading is still required before this manuscript can be accepted for publication. Please address each of the following concerns I have: Why did the percentages change in the results section 3.1? The conclusion should not be in bullet-point format; also what happened to the emphasis on ecosystem services? This topic should be included here. In the recommendations section, what does “defaulters” refer to? This term is never used anywhere else in the manuscript, and if it is important to put in the recommendations then it should also be discussed at more length in the discussion section. This manuscript cannot be published without a proper error matrix as I suggested in my previous review. This is a scientific standard for classified maps and if the errors cannot be properly reported then the results are meaningless. Toward this, I want to see a table that reports Producer's/User's Accuracy for each class, and Overall Accuracy. I suggest that the authors write a new section in the results that reports the errors, and a separate section in the discussion that discusses the significance of each error. I don't understand the sentence “The 77.5% fit of ground truth points on the classified maps were probably caused by cloud cover” at line 269.	Another reviewer suggested that we put all to the same significant figures, which we did. That area was removed by another reviewer in summarising the paper The bullets have been removed The area containing defaulters have been removed as proposed by another reviewer The error matrix has been included in the work Errors have been reported and discussed Here we geocoded land use observed in the field gotten from ground truth points on the images and 77.5% of the 49 points collected matched with the land use class on the map