



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>        |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>2014_BJAST_14253</b>                                                  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Mitigating the Effects of Climate Change with Wind Energy and GIS</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review Article</b>                                                    |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                             |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>The analysis is poor. The study should be based on higher number of scientific papers to have a strong review article of general interest.</b> | We have more than doubled the number of papers in our review from the original 16 citations to 35 recent scientific references and included a discussion analyzing the various GIS approaches to determining optimal wind farm locations. |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |