



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13651
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Modeling of Head Loss through Duct Fittings in Conditioned Air Distribution Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Page1:line15:.....is an important correct 2- Page 2: lines 63, 64 and 65, may be the best, transfer after line 70 3- In section 2: the equation of total head loss (pressure loss) 4- Please, rewrite all equations in one font size 5- Also, the written of Fig. Or Figure in all article must be in one form 6- Please, review the name of the duct sections and the outlets in both Tables and the figures to be in one form 7- The discussion of the results not enough 8- Most of figures not clear to readin	Except for the Comment No. 2, I agree with all other comments; and revisions have been made in the paper to reflect these. With regards to Comment No. 2, lines 63, 64 and 65 are not transferred as these lines are more appropriately placed in their present positions, since they relate to the estimation of head loss due to friction
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Rewrite and take the comments into consideration	