



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11287
Title of the Manuscript:	Black triangles causes and management: a review of literature
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Entire manuscript: edit entire manuscript for spacings, interpunction, orthographic issues, word-seperations etc. (mind that errors could be caused by formatting in MS Word which sometimes is not recognized/translated properly by PDF-software) Abstract/1st sentence should read: Open gingival embrasures are a complex functional and aesthetic problem. Abstract/2nd sentence should read: A team approach Periodontist should be mandatory.	Corrected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		