



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10831
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTICRITERIA ASSESSMENT OF SHORT LIFE-CYCLE MATERIALS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Research process and some valuable results of this research describe in Abstract 2) Line 136, is it right? Is this subtitle research processes? 3) Also, in Figure 5, I do not understand it. 4) Line 187, is it methodology? 5) From line 265 to Line 544, it is difficult for me to read you manuscript because there is no spacing between words. 6) Add more the research papers in References.	1) I am not agree with you, but I wil try. 2) It will be written 'materials studied'. 3) Figure 5 should be entitled as: "Flow diagram to produce PET bottles and Exergy balance of production" 4) No you are right. I have annulated those lines. 5) Excuse me is no my fault. 6) I will try, but I think there a no more papers.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) Line 136, is it right? Is this subtitle research processes? 2) In Figure 3, when you should choose path at Key Variables for the future, what is the criteria? 3) In Figure 4, I do not understand the role of system dynamics.	1) This comment was already answered. 2) Thank you; I have suppressed those figures. 3) The same, I have suppressed the figure
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		