



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_ARRB_14978
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Methane Cycling Microbial Communities in Natural and Drained Sites of Taldom Peatland, Moscow Region, Russia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The paper presents a relevant subject for scientific community. Clearly written, organized and concise.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Fig. 1: necessary to put the scale of the map and of the picture.</p> <p>The author presents the objectives and hypothesis of the paper in the Results and Discussion. I recommend that such issues are addressed at the end of item introduction.</p> <p>167 and 170 lines. The author explain how tested his hypothesis. I Recommend that this explanation is placed in the Material and Methods.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Brazil</b>