



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14252
Title of the Manuscript:	Local Institutions' Capacity to Respond to Gender Issues in Climate Change in Nigeria's Niger-Delta
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting and worthwhile subject for study, and the author has made a good initial effort at explaining the findings and the importance of the findings. However, the composition and style of the writing often make the point of the argument hard to follow. For example, lines 66-75 should be broken up (perhaps by bullet points) for clarity; lines 134-139 have the same problem. In Table 1, “% contribution” is ambiguous and leaves the reader wondering, “contribution to what?” Line 170: What is a “gender focal person”? Table 3: Were men ranking just their own perceptions or their perceptions of women’s vulnerability as well (and vice-versa for women)? The answer would be important to the analysis. The assertion in lines 203-205 requires some evidentiary support. Section 4.3 is difficult to evaluate, as there is no information provided in the paper as to how the indices were constructed, the questions asked, or the criteria for categorizing the answer. This weakens the paper and the conclusions presented.	DONE . ‘% Contribution’ is the same as saying %. It describes the percentage of the sample with the stated characteristics. An individual in an organization who is responsible for ensuring gender mainstreaming and is also the contact point of the organization on gender issues. Yes, the ranking was general Refer to Table 3 please Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Greater attention to punctuation is necessary.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		